council on environmental quality

102 monitor

environmental impact statements

Volume 5, No. 9
October, 1975

PETERSON SPEAKS ON COST/BENEFIT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROGRAMS

"For the ten years between 1973 and 1982, CEQ estimates that pollution control expenditures... will total $195 billion," said CEQ Chairman Russell Peterson before the Financial Analysts Federation Conference on "Limits to Growth - Myth or Reality." According to Dr. Peterson, however, money invested in environmental clean-up has far-reaching and oftentimes money-saving effects. Industrial wastes and by-products once dumped into rivers are now being converted into salable products. In the area of public health, billions of dollars as well as human lives could be saved by identifying carcinogens before they pollute the environment. (page 3)

CONTENTS

Statement by Dr. Peterson	3
State-County Index of Statements Filed During September, 1975	17
Agency Index of Statements Filed During September, 1975	24
EISs Filed During September, 1975	29
Cummulative Summary	83
EPA Listings	84
102 Monitor Subscription Information	105

CEQ
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY • 722 JACKSON PLACE, NW • WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

News Release

REMARKS BY RUSSELL W. PETERSON
CHAIRMAN, COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
BEFORE THE
FINANCIAL ANALYSTS FEDERATION
CONFERENCE ON "LIMITS TO GROWTH-MYTH OR REALITY"
ATLANTA, GA.
OCTOBER 6, 1975

Any discussion of "Limits to Growth - Myth or Reality" calls for a thorough understanding of the impacts of growth on environmental quality. There is much reality to such impacts just as there are many myths about them. To separate the real from the mythical, it is necessary to have an understanding of environmental economics. Accordingly, I have chosen today to review some of the economic factors involved in protesting the environment.

Perhaps the easiest way to summarize your concerns and mine on this subject is in the form of a single question: Do the benefits of cleaning up and protecting the environment justify the costs? I intend to examine this question with all the objectivity, fairness, and lack of bias at my command and then, as you might expect, I will answer this question with an objective, fair, unbiased "yes." If the day ever comes when a chairman of the Council on Environmental Quality answers "no" to such a question, that will be the day when Ralph Nader is elected Chairman of General Motors.

As a matter of fact, my answer is neither that easy nor unqualified. In our efforts to repair past environmental damage and prevent it from recurring, governmental officials have run into some surprising dilemmas: regulations intended to reduce one kind of pollution have sometimes increased another. Conversely, some businessmen, in their efforts to comply with environmental regulations, have discovered some surprising dividends. I want to talk about both sides of the regulatory coin today -- the costs to business and society, as well as the benefits -- and then to offer my appraisal of the bottom line.

First, how much is it costing us to clean up the environment?

For the ten years between 1973 and 1982, CEQ estimates that pollution control expenditures required by federal regulations will total $195 billion. Individuals will pay about $65 billion of this directly, in the form of devices to control auto pollution and the extra gasoline that these devices consume. Government at all levels will spend $32.5 billion, principally to build and operate municipal sewage treatment plants. Electrical utilities will spend $32.5 billion, and other industry the remaining $65 billion. No matter which way you slice it, of course, all these charges will ultimately be paid by individuals, in the form of higher costs and taxes.

Even in this era of mega-spending, when a balanced Federal budget seems as elusive as the Holy Grail, and the term "billion" no longer boggles our minds, $195 billion is a lot of money. Over the next ten years, this sum will represent between one and two percent of our gross national product. With unemployment exceeding eight percent; inflation ebbing, but always threatening to break back toward two digits; and high energy costs promising to take a sizeable bite out of industrial and consumer income for decades to come, it's imperative that we determine whether such a massive investment is justified. So let's take a look at the impact of environmental spending on four critical aspects of our economy: employment, economic growth, inflation, and on specific industries.

Employment: Environmental programs are frequently accused of being a major cause of unemployment. As far as we can determine, however, environmental regulations have so far been a factor in closing down 75 plants employing 13,900 people -- fifteen-thousandths of one percent of the labor force. In most of these plant closings, moreover, environmental regulations were only one consideration in closing down marginally productive, older plants that were on the brink of being phased out anyhow.

On the other hand, a single environmental protection agency grant program -- for construction of waste water treatment facilities -- has created about 125,000 jobs. On-site and off-site employment on such projects will grow to about 200,000 by mid-1977. And, of course, these primary jobs create secondary jobs in the community. These job figures, I want to emphasize, relate to only one sector of environmental clean-up and protection. It is estimated that well over a million jobs have resulted from programs to clean up our environment.

In sum, the best information we can find indicates that environmental programs have increased employment, not decreased it.

Economic Growth: Another concern about environmental programs is that investments in pollution control may displace investments needed to expand or modernize production capacity. Such displacements would affect the rate of growth in productivity in the specific plant or industry involved but on the other hand would stimulate productivity in those plants producing the pollution control equipment. There is no question that investment in pollution control equipment will increase the competition for capital and thus contribute to high interest rates.

In terms of the economy as a whole, however, expenditures for pollution control will -- as I will point out later -- actually stimulate growth. According to our projections, investment by U.S. industry for environmental purposes will not exceed six percent of total plant and equipment spending in any one year. The Brookings

Institution concluded in a recent study that "with normal growth and without unusual sacrifices, the economy will be able to meet the capital demands that can reasonably be projected for the remainder of the decade."

Inflation: Next to unemployment, inflation remains our biggest economic worry in 1975. On the basis of three independent studies, we estimate that pollution control expenditures will add no more than an average of five-tenths of one percent to inflation over the decade. Viewed another way, the average cost of pollution abatement per person in the United States in 1973 was between $34 and $40. This will increase to approximately $80 -- about two percent of median family income in 1976 -- and then fall off.

Specific Industries: Let's talk about some specific industries because specific industries are hit a lot harder than the general economy. The studies I have cited indicate that environmental programs are not likely to have a substantial impact on the economy as a whole. However, during 1973 and 1974, four industries -- non-ferrous metals; paper; stone, clay and glass; and iron and steel -- invested more than ten percent of their total plant and equipment expenditures in pollution control.

This figure compares with an average of 7.8 percent for all manufacturing and 4.8 percent for all business. The petroleum, chemicals, and electric utility sectors also face relatively heavy pollution control expenditures. These seven industrial groups accounted for 75 percent of all private pollution control investments in 1973 and 1974, and are all expected to continue

heavy pollution control expenditures for the next decade.

These industries share some important characteristics. All are basic industries; their price and supply problems affect the whole economy. Some are capital intensive, and therefore affected by the tight capital markets projected for the coming decade. They are generally energy-intensive, accounting for three-quarters of all energy consumed by American manufacturing, and nearly one-fifth of total U.S. energy consumption.

In short, these industries may be facing several problems simultaneously. Because of their fundamental importance to our overall economic health, the problems these industries encounter in their efforts to comply with federal regulations deserve close analysis and continuous monitoring. CEQ, EPA, and the National Commission on Water Quality are now completing studies of these specific industries.

What I have said so far amounts to an argument that industry's costs for pollution control are well within the capacity of our economy to sustain, even in a sluggish period. This is, of course, an entirely negative perspective, and completely neglects the positive benefits of pollution control to society in terms of improved health, safety, and general quality of life. Some of the benefits of environmental clean-up can be quantified in dollar terms, others cannot. Our accounting of environmental costs and benefits is still too primitive to give us solid figures

on the economic savings that anti-pollution investments will give us for generations to come.

What are some of the hard-to-quantify benefits of environmental clean-up?

The savings in long-term health effects is one. The medical profession is pretty well agreed now that a high percentage of cancer is caused by environmental factors -- as much as 70 to 80 percent. We know that many chemicals cause cancer in test animals, and that some cause it in human beings. We also know that we have been adding new chemicals to our environment at a rapid pace. Thousands are discovered by the U.S. chemical industry each year, and hundreds are introduced commercially -- usually before we have any solid knowledge about their long-term health effects. Finally, by charting cancer incidence county-by-county, instead of by state, we have identified correlations between frequency of the disea and areas of heavy industrial activity -- such as the Mississippi Delta area.

Because cancer has such a long latency period -- on the order of 15 to 40 years -- we do not know how many people have already contracted the disease, but have yet to manifest the symptoms. This and other factors complicates the business of estimating health effects in economic terms. About $1.8 billion is expended solely for hospital care of cancer patients each year. Additional costs for doctor bills, out-patient therapy, and other treatment-related

fees raise the total expenditure well above ten billion dollars.

The possible depletion of the ozone layer, which protects us from excessive solar radiation, is another pollution-related matter that could pose a long term threat to agriculture and human health. Smog in our cities is a hard-to-quantify cost of pollution. How much is it worth to be able to see the beauty of your environment? Recently, I returned from two days of hearings in Los Angeles. Repeatedly, people commented on what a beautiful place the Los Angeles area used to be; today, they complained, much of the time they can's even see the beautiful hills along that much-travelled valley. While in Tokyo last year, I was told that Mount Fujiama is visible 80 fewer days per year than it was just 20 years ago. Most visitors are anxious to see Mt. Fujiama when they come to Tokyo, and the 11 million citizens consider it not only a great tourist asset, but a pleasure for themselves. It is impossible to quantify the loss of such a pleasure in economic terms -- but unquestionably the sight of Mt. Fujiama is worth considerable.

Another economic factor that is not well understood, but which is of particular concern to environmentalists, is the need to decrease the production of waste. Put in a positive way, this is the need to increase the productivity of materials and energy.

Some industries have already discovered that compliance with the pollution regulations can produce measurable financial benefit. A prime example is the paper industry. Roughly 60 percent of a tree is worthless for paper, and in the past, paper companies have

dumped their mill wastes or sold them very cheaply. But with the rise in chemical prices over the last several years, the increasing cost of pollution control measures, and a desire to squeeze as much profit as possible from existing facilities, paper companies have begun taking a harder look at their industrial garbage -- and they've found some money in there.

According to the Wall Street Journal of February 7, Union Camp used to sell its mill wastes for eight cents a pound: now it turns them into flavors and fragrances worth more than $1 a pound, and has boosted its chemical sales to $100 million a year. As a by-product of processing at its Bellingham, Washington plant, Georgia Pacific is producing 190-proof alcohol -- so "pure and potent" that the Treasury Department has stationed men in the plant full time to make sure none of it is converted to drinking liquor before sale to industrial users. Westvaco has found the conversion of mill wastes into other products so profitable that it has created a chemical subsidiary with four processing plants and a research center staffed by 80 scientists; in the last five years, Westvaco's chemical sales have doubled, to $45 million -- all from materials that the company used to dump. Weyerhaueser claims that two-thirds of the energy needed for its manufacturing operations are now provided by mill wastes; the company has plans to develop steam boilers fueled by bark and to produce methane from forest waste.

Similarly, Dow Corning found that a $2.7 million capital investment in equipment to recover chlorine and hydrogen previously

lost to the atmosphere in making silicon reduced operating costs by $900,000 a year -- a 33 percent annual return on investment. Hercules Powder spent $750,000 to reduce solids discharged into the Mississippi -- and is now saving $250,000 a year in material and water costs. Processing improvements in a Goldkist poultry plant have cut water use by 32 percent, reduced wastes by 66 percent, and produced a net annual saving of $2.33 for every dollar expended. Philip Hanes, Chairman of Hanes Dye and Finishing, has testified that "cleaning up our stacks and neutralizing our liquids was expensive, but in the balance we have actually made money on our pollution control efforts. EPA has helped our bottom line."

The market has weaknesses but -- as Walter Heller has written -- a superbly adaptive super-computer that continuously monitors consumer tastes. "The private market makes trillions of decisions without any central regulation. It is a fantastic cybernetic device that processes huge amounts of information in the form of the consumer voting with his dollars, the retailer telegraphing back to the wholesaler, the wholesaler to the producer."

But I think you will agree that the market does not weigh all the factors. As we often learn to our dismay, the market does a poor job of forecasting the longer term. And as we have learned in modern times, economic decision-making has overlooked the impacts of man's activities on the earth. Our ecosystem, we have found out, has its own decision-making process. Time and time again, man's activities have drawn an unexpected, harmful response from nature.

Clear-cutting is an economic way of harvesting timber, from the lumberman's point of view. But it can lead to soil erosion, the destruction of land fertility, and the silting up of rivers and streams -- all losses which can be measured in economic terms. Phosphate detergents produced whiter washes, and gave some soap companies a marketing edge. They also produced non-biodegradable islands of bubbles which our sewage systems could not digest -- and hence a pollution problem that had to be cured with public money. Auto emissions rising into the air and carried by wind currents have produced acid rains in forests far from any urban center. This, too, is a problem that may have economically quantifiable effects on soil productivity as well as human health.

For decades, economists have been assuring us that "there is no free lunch". More recently, ecology has started telling us, "There is no free pollution, either." Two hundred years ago, the Founding Father of Economics, Adam Smith, gave us the concept of the invisible hand. Freed from Governmental regulation, Smith told us, the forces of free enterprise -- even though motivated by the prospect of private gain would produce public benefit.

The invisible hand has been a productive concept, unleashing the power of private initiative -- and it will continue to do so. But ecology adds a postscript to this concept from economics. The basic principle of ecology is that "Everything affects everything else." Remember, this principle tells us, that the invisible hand is connected to an invisible foot -- and that

while the hand precedes us, beckoning us on to new heights of economic gain, the foot may be following us, winding up for a kick that will propel us to new depths of ecological disaster.

Finally, any economic reckoning of the costs and benefits of pollution control should include the formation of a new environmental industry. National concern over environmental degradation, together with industry's need for help in cleaning up its operations, has triggered the creation of research and development organizations, consulting groups, and manufacturing firms to supply a new market. Manufacturers of air pollution equipment have become a sufficiently substantial group to form their own association. In 1966, members of this association sold $78 million worth of equipment; by 1973, their sales were running at an annual rate well over $300 million. The new environmental industry's contribution to growth will, I am convinced, be more visible in the future, as this still-young, still-small industry matures and expands. CEQ hopes to find out more about this new industry's structure; markets, and problems this December, when we sponsor a meeting in Washington. Perhaps one dimension of this industry's potential importance is that our invitation list includes the chief executives of 500 firms.

It is an understandable human reaction to resent new constraints on the conduct of one's business, particularly when those constraints come from Washington. Yet those constraints would not have become law unless a majority of the American people supported them. And despite our current economic

difficulties, the American people apparently want to pay the price for environmental protection. In its August 1975 Public Opinion Index, the Opinion Research Corporation reports: "Given a choice, most people indicate that they believe it is more important to pay the costs involved in protecting the environment than to keep prices and taxes down, and run the risk of more pollution." The major conclusion the editors draw from their survey is this: "In sum, business seems to have little recourse but to learn to cope with and even capitalize on ... the transformation of environmental protection into a popular, institutionalized movement which shows little sign of abating, even during a period of economic stress." All of the inputs we get from many directions support this.

I would emphasize that notion of attempting to "capitalize on environmental protection." Companies that regard pollution control regulations as nothing more than a nuisance will undoubtedly find them just that -- and an expensive nuisance to boot.

But as Herbert Doan, former President of Dow Chemical, put the matter in a speech on pollution control, "The fact that we don't have all the technology needed simply means that there are new opportunities for invention. The profit-minded company will stop hand-wringing, and start thinking."

The basic economic truth about environmental regulations is that they inject a new demand-factor into the market that did not

exist ten years ago. Environmental quality, which we all once took for granted, has become a marketable item. Companies that thrive on competition, companies equal to the challenges that new social forces have constantly imposed on business, will find that the public interest in a clean environment can prove to be a golden nuisance.

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
		DRAFT	Bathtub, Shower Fire Safety Requirements	51448	09-29-75	HUD
		DRAFT	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment	51308	09-02-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail	51309	09-02-75	DOI
		DRAFT	LORAN-C, Gulf of Alaska	51350	09-08-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals	51355	09-09-75	DOC
		DRAFT	Coal Mining Regulations	51399	09-16-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment	51408	09-22-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Texas Eastern Transmisson Corp., Curtailment	51410	09-22-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Trunkline Gas Co. Curtailment	51411	09-22-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., Curtailment	51412	09-22-75	FPC
		DRAFT	United Gas Pipeline Co., Curtailment	51413	09-22-75	FPC
		FINAL	Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway, Navigation Season	51384	09-15-75	COE
		FINAL	Proposed Coal Leasing Program	51403	09-19-75	DOI
Alabama		DRAFT	Holt, Bankhead, and Lewis Smith Projects, Alabama	51325	09-02-75	FPC
		DRAFT	Theodore Ship Channel, Mobile Bay	51438	09-25-75	COE
	Baldwin	FINAL	Alabama Route 59, Baldwin County	51393	09-16-75	DOT
	Mobile	DRAFT	Florida Street Extension, Mobile	51320	09-02-75	DOT
Alaska		DRAFT	Upper Susitna R., Hydroelectric Development	51430	09-23-75	COE
		FINAL	Lake Otis Parkway, Anchorage	51423	09-22-75	DOT
Arizona	Graham	DRAFT	Forest Highway 34	51457	09-29-75	USDA
	Maricopa	DRAFT	New River and Phoenix City Streams	51314	09-02-75	COE
		DRAFT	Buckhorn-Mesa Watershed	51357	09-10-75	USDA
		FINAL	Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Sta., Units 1-3	51454	09-29-75	NRC
	Pinal	DRAFT	Buckhorn-Mesa Watershed	51357	09-10-75	USDA
Arkansas	Pulaski	FINAL	East Belt Freeway, Little Rock	51315	09-02-75	DOT
California		DRAFT	NAS Miramar, Restrictive Use Easements	51404	09-19-75	USN
		DRAFT	Herbicide Use, Pacific NW Region	51416	09-22-75	USDA
		FINAL	Moss Landing Harbor, California	51327	09-03-75	COE
	Alameda	DRAFT	San Leandro Marina	51392	09-16-75	COE
	Contra Costa	DRAFT	Walnut Creek Project	51352	09-09-75	COE
	Orange	DRAFT	Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek	51427	09-22-75	COE
		FINAL	Aliso Water Management Agency Project	51329	09-03-75	EPA
	Riverside	DRAFT	Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek	51427	09-22-75	COE
	San Bernardino	DRAFT	Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek	51427	09-22-75	COE

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
California	Santa Clara	FINAL	Rte 87, Guadalupe Parkway, San Jose	51324	09-02-75	DOT
	Santa Cruz	FINAL	Santa Cruz Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51312	09-02-75	COE
	Solano	DRAFT	Vallejo Waterfront Redevelopment	51420	09-22-75	HUD
Colorado	Garfield	FINAL	I-70, DeBeque-Grand Valley	51460	09-29-75	DOT
	Mesa	FINAL	I-70, DeBeque-Grand Valley	51460	09-29-75	DOT
District of Co		FINAL	Rehabilitation of the National Mall	51356	09-10-75	DOI
		FINAL	Capitol Power Plant	51406	09-19-75	AC
Florida	Clay	DRAFT	SR 21, (Blanding Blvd.), Clay Co.	51322	09-02-75	DOT
	Duval	FINAL	Beach Erosion Project, Duval County, Florida	51373	09-11-75	COE
Georgia		DRAFT	Little River Development Plan, Clark Hill Lake	51326	09-02-75	COE
		FINAL	Brunswick Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51394	09-16-75	COE
	Floyd	DRAFT	Rocky Mt. Project, Georgia	51383	09-12-75	FPC
	Hall	FINAL	Georgia S.R. 365	51344	09-08-75	DOT
	Habersham	FINAL	Georgia S.R. 365	51344	09-08-75	DOT
	Richmond	DRAFT	John C. Calhoun Expressway Extension	51310	09-02-75	DOT
	Screven	DRAFT	US 301, Screven Co.	51343	09-08-75	DOT
Guam		DRAFT	Agana Small Boat Harbor (Supplement)	51360	09-10-75	COE
Hawaii		DRAFT	Hilo Bayfront Highway, FAP 19	51397	09-16-75	DOT
		FINAL	Proposed Master Plan, Hawaii Volcanoes N.P.	51337	09-04-75	DOI
		FINAL	City of Refuge National Historical Park	51452	09-29-75	DOI
	Maui	FINAL	Iao Stream, Flood Control	51347	09-08-75	COE
Idaho		DRAFT	West Slope of the Tetons, Targhee N.F.	51388	09-16-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Bruneau Wild and Scenic Rier	51395	09-16-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Hot Springs-Bell 500-kV line	51396	09-16-75	DOI
	Benewah	DRAFT	St. Joe River Road (Forest Route 50)	51419	09-22-75	DOT
	Cassia	DRAFT	I-15W Raft River-Rockland Jct.	51459	09-29-75	DOT
	Fremont	DRAFT	W. Fremont Co. Wastewater Facilities	51351	09-08-75	EPA

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Idaho	Idaho	FINAL	Rainy Day Planning Unit, Nezperce N. F.	51407	09-22-75	USDA
	Power	DRAFT	I-15W Raft River-Rockland Jct.	51459	09-29-75	DOT
	Shoshone	DRAFT	St. Joe River Road (Forest Route 50)	51419	09-22-75	DOT
Illinois		FINAL	East Moline, Flood Protection System	51391	09-16-75	COE
	Macon	FINAL	Wildwood Addition Mortgage Insurance, Decatur	51345	09-08-75	HUD
	Madison	DRAFT	I-255, St. Louis	51422	09-22-75	DOT
	Monroe	DRAFT	I-255, St. Louis	51422	09-22-75	DOT
	Moultrie	FINAL	Lake Shelbyville, Operation and Maintenance	51372	09-10-75	COE
	Shelby	FINAL	Lake Shelbyville, Operation and Maintenance	51372	09-10-75	COE
	St. Clair	DRAFT	I-255, St. Louis	51422	09-22-75	DOT
Indiana	several	DRAFT	Lost River Watershed (2)	51432	09-23-75	USDA
Iowa		DRAFT	C&NW Transportation Co., Docket AB1 (Sub.-No. 9)	51462	09-30-75	ICC
Kansas		DRAFT	Route 36, St. Joseph and Missouri River	51417	09-22-75	DOT
		FINAL	Blue River Basin Projects, Kansas and Missouri	51342	09-08-75	COE
	Barton	DRAFT	Great Bend Local Protection Project	51340	09-08-75	COE
	Osage	DRAFT	Pomona Lake, Kansas, O&M	51328	09-03-75	COE
Kentucky		DRAFT	Blackbird Control, Army Installations (Supplement)	51359	09-10-75	USA
	Lyon	FINAL	Lake Barkely Disposal	51349	09-08-75	COE
Louisiana		DRAFT	Mississippi R., Baton Rouge to Gulf of Mexico (S)	51433	09-25-75	COE
		FINAL	New Orleans Lakefront Airport	51311	09-02-75	DOT
	Acadia	FINAL	Duralde-des Cannes Watershed	51341	09-08-75	USDA
	Evangeline	FINAL	Duralde-des Cannes Watershed	51341	09-08-75	USDA
	Jefferson	DRAFT	Bayou Des Familles Corp. Flood Protection	51353	09-09-75	COE
	Plaquemines	FINAL	Naval Personnel Admin. Complex, Belle Chasse	51431	09-23-75	USN
Massachusetts	Worcester	DRAFT	State Primary Route 146	51456	09-29-75	DOT

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Michigan		DRAFT	Duluth Stormwater Flood Control	51334	09-04-75	COE
		FINAL	Holland Harbor, Disposal Facilities	51364	09-10-75	COE
	Mason	FINAL	US-31, Michigan	51382	09-12-75	DOT
	Wayne	FINAL	Detroit-Wayne Co. Airport, Runway (Supplement)	51409	09-22-75	DOT
Minnesota	several	FINAL	Red Lake and Clearwater Rivers Project	51367	09-10-75	COE
	Hennepin	DRAFT	Bassett Creek Watershed	51387	09-15-75	COE
	Kittson	DRAFT	Roseau River Flood Control	51316	09-02-75	COE
	Lake	FINAL	Two Harbors Harbor, Operation and Maintenance	51361	09-10-75	COE
	Roseau	DRAFT	Roseau River Flood Control	51316	09-02-75	COE
Mississippi	Bolivar	DRAFT	Deer Creek Watershed	51380	09-12-75	USDA
	Hancock	DRAFT	Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	51389	09-16-75	COE
	Lauderdale	FINAL	Okatibbee Dam and Lake, Operation and Maintenance	51346	09-08-75	COE
	Washington	DRAFT	Deer Creek Watershed	51380	09-12-75	USDA
Missouri		DRAFT	Route 36, St. Joseph and Missouri River	51417	09-22-75	DOT
		FINAL	Blue River Basin Projects, Kansas and Missouri	51342	09-08-75	COE
	Johnson	FINAL	S. Fork of Blackwater R. Watershed	51398	09-16-75	USDA
Montana		DRAFT	Hot Springs-Bell 500-kV line	51396	09-16-75	DOI
	Lincoln	DRAFT	West Kootenai Planning Unit	51402	09-18-75	USDA
Nebraska	Douglas	DRAFT	Nebraska Hwy 133 (90th St.), Omaha	51331	09-03-75	DOT
		DRAFT	Omaha North Freeway	51464	09-30-75	DOT
Nevada		FINAL	Underground Nuclear Testing Program (Supplement)	51374	09-12-75	ERDA
	Clark	DRAFT	US 95 West Leg, Las Vegas	51318	09-02-75	DOT
		FINAL	Desert Wilderness Area	51336	09-04-75	DOI
	Lincoln	FINAL	Desert Wilderness Area	51336	09-04-75	DOI
New Hampshire	Coos	DRAFT	Kilkenny Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F.	51463	09-30-75	USDA

FROM 09-01-75
TO 09-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

10-02-75

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
New Jersey		FINAL	New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway	51338	09-04-75	COE
	Gloucester	FINAL	Route 55 Freeway	51354	09-08-75	DOT
New Mexico	Dona Ana	DRAFT	US 1133 (University Avenue), Las Cruces	51376	09-12-75	DOT
New York		FINAL	Maintenance of Browns Creek, Long Island	51333	09-04-75	COE
	Dutchess	FINAL	I-84, Hudson River Crossing	51323	09-02-75	DOT
	Erie	FINAL	Black Rock Channel and Tonawanda Harbor	51363	09-10-75	COE
	Niagara	FINAL	Black Rock Channel and Tonawanda Harbor	51363	09-10-75	COE
	Ontario	DRAFT	Flint Creek Watershed	51451	09-29-75	USDA
	Orange	FINAL	I-84, Hudson River Crossing	51323	09-02-75	DOT
	Rockland	FINAL	Pascack Brook Improvement Project	51348	09-08-75	HUD
	Steuben	DRAFT	Flint Creek Watershed	51451	09-29-75	USDA
	Yates	DRAFT	Flint Creek Watershed	51451	09-29-75	USDA
Ohio		DRAFT	Cleveland's Demolition Program	51332	09-03-75	HUD
	Ashtabula	FINAL	Conneaut Harbor O&M	51369	09-10-75	COE
	Clark	FINAL	Clarence J. Brown Dam and Reservoir	51455	09-29-75	COE
	Cuyahoga	DRAFT	Rocky River Harbor, O&M	51377	09-12-75	COE
		FINAL	Big Creek and Metro Zoo Flood Protection	51446	09-26-75	COE
		FINAL	Cuyahoga River Sediment Removal	51447	09-26-75	COE
	Erie	DRAFT	Vermilion Harbor, O&M	51385	09-15-75	COE
		FINAL	Sandusky Harbor Maintenance	51365	09-10-75	COE
	Lake	FINAL	Fairport Harbor Maintenance	51368	09-10-75	COE
	Lorain	FINAL	Lorain Harbor, Maintenance	51370	09-10-75	COE
Oklahoma		DRAFT	Red River Chloride Control	51400	09-17-75	COE
	Pottawatomie	FINAL	Pott-Sem-Turkey Watershed	51405	09-19-75	USDA
	Seminole	FINAL	Pott-Sem-Turkey Watershed	51405	09-19-75	USDA
Oregon		DRAFT	McNary Second Powerhouse (2)	51358	09-10-75	COE
		DRAFT	Herbicide Use, Pacific NW Region	51416	09-22-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Bonneville Lock and Dam, 2nd Powerhouse (S)	51458	09-29-75	COE
		FINAL	Columbia and Lower Willamette River, Maintenance	51441	09-25-75	COE
	Curry	FINAL	U.S. 101, Elk River - Port Orford Section	51426	09-22-75	DOT
	Douglas	FINAL	Interstate 5, Divide-Anlauf Section	51317	09-02-75	DOT
	Gilliam	FINAL	Rock Creek Watershed	51319	09-02-75	USDA
	Lane	FINAL	Interstate 5, Divide-Anlauf Section	51317	09-02-75	DOT

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Oregon	Morrow	FINAL	Rock Creek Watershed	51319	09-02-75	USDA
Pennsylvania	Allegheny	DRAFT	LR 1074, Spur F, Section A00	51443	09-25-75	DOT
	Blair	DRAFT	Seventeenth St. Extension, Altoona	51378	09-12-75	DOT
	Erie	FINAL	Erie Harbor, Pennsylvania, Maintenance	51371	09-10-75	COE
	Lehigh	FINAL	Trexler Lake, Supplement	51381	09-12-75	COE
	Montgomery	FINAL	Pine Run Watershed Poject	51436	09-25-75	USDA
South Carolina		DRAFT	Little River Development Plan, Clark Hill Lake	51326	09-02-75	COE
		DRAFT	Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, S.C.	51418	09-22-75	COE
	Berkeley	DRAFT	Charleston Harbor, Ashley R., Cooper R. Dredging	51390	09-16-75	COE
	Charleston	DRAFT	Charleston Harbor, Ashley R., Cooper R. Dredging	51390	09-16-75	COE
	Greenville	DRAFT	South Tyger River Watershed	51386	09-15-75	USDA
South Dakota		DRAFT	C&NW Transportation Co., Docket AB1 (Sub.-No. 9)	51462	09-30-75	ICC
Tennessee		DRAFT	Blackbird Control, Army Installations (Supplement)	51359	09-10-75	USA
Texas		DRAFT	Red River Chloride Control	51400	09-17-75	COE
		FINAL	Lake Brownwood Modification	51425	09-22-75	COE
	Bowie	DRAFT	Loop 151, Texarkana	51453	09-29-75	DOT
	Chambers	FINAL	Double Bayou, Maintenance Dredging	51335	09-04-75	COE
		FINAL	Clear Creek and Clear Lake	51434	09-25-75	COE
	Cooke	FINAL	Aubrey Lake, Supplement	51442	09-25-75	COE
	Fannin	FINAL	Caddo National Grasslands Unit Plan	51440	09-25-75	USDA
	Galveston	FINAL	Clear Creek and Clear Lake	51434	09-25-75	COE
	Harris	DRAFT	Beltway 8, US 59 North to I.H. 10 East	51375	09-12-75	DOT
		FINAL	Clear Creek and Clear Lake	51434	09-25-75	COE
	Montague	FINAL	Lyndon B. Johnson Nat'l Grasslands, Unit Plan	51439	09-25-75	USDA
	Red River	FINAL	Big Pine Lake, Red River Co.	51424	09-22-75	COE
	Wise	FINAL	Lyndon B. Johnson Nat'l Grasslands, Unit Plan	51439	09-25-75	USDA
Utah		DRAFT	Rocket Disposal, Dugway Proving Ground	51449	09-29-75	USA
		FINAL	American Fork Creek Project No. 696	51414	09-22-75	FPC

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY STATE AND COUNTY

STATE	COUNTY	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED	ORIG AGENCY NUMBER
Virginia	several	FINAL	I 664 - Hampton Roads	51330	09-03-75	DOT
	Bath	FINAL	Bath County Project, Virginia	51415	09-22-75	FPC
	Chesterfield	DRAFT	Falling Creek Wastewater Treatment	51339	09-08-75	EPA
	Fairfax	DRAFT	Ft. Belvoir Housing Project	51429	09-22-75	USA
	Mecklenburg	FINAL	Route 58	51445	09-26-75	DOT
	New Kent	DRAFT	New Kent Co. Airport, Quinton, Virginia	51428	09-22-75	DOT
Washington		DRAFT	McNary Second Powerhouse (2)	51358	09-10-75	COE
		DRAFT	Hot Springs-Bell 500-kV line	51396	09-16-75	DOI
		DRAFT	Herbicide Use, Pacific NW Region	51416	09-22-75	USDA
		DRAFT	Bonneville Lock and Dam, 2nd Powerhouse (S)	51458	09-29-75	COE
		FINAL	Columbia and Lower Willamette River, Maintenance	51441	09-25-75	COE
	Adams	DRAFT	Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello	51313	09-02-75	HUD
	Ferry	DRAFT	East Deer Creek Unit, Colville N.F.	51421	09-22-75	USDA
	King	DRAFT	Sand Point Park, Seattle	51461	09-29-75	HUD
	Pierce	DRAFT	Chambers Creek Sewerage System	51448	09-25-75	EPA
	Snohomish	FINAL	Everett Harbor and Snohomish River Navigation	51437	09-25-75	COE
West Virginia	Fayette	DRAFT	New River Gorge, Nat'l Wild and Scenic Rivers	51450	09-29-75	DOI
	Grant	FINAL	North and South Mill Creek Subwatershed	51401	09-17-75	USDA
	Hardy	FINAL	North and South Mill Creek Subwatershed	51401	09-17-75	USDA
	Kanawha	FINAL	Government Square, Triangle Urban Renewal Projects	51321	09-02-75	HUD
	Pendleton	FINAL	North and South Mill Creek Subwatershed	51401	09-17-75	USDA
	Raleigh	DRAFT	New River Gorge, Nat'l Wild and Scenic Rivers	51450	09-29-75	DOI
	Summers	DRAFT	New River Gorge, Nat'l Wild and Scenic Rivers	51450	09-29-75	DOI
Wisconsin		FINAL	Port Washington Navigation Project	51362	09-10-75	COE
		FINAL	Kewaunee Harbor Maintenance, Wisconsin	51366	09-10-75	COE
Wyoming		DRAFT	West Slope of the Tetons, Targhee N.F.	51388	09-16-75	USDA
		FINAL	Grand Teton N.P., Master Plan	51435	09-25-75	DOI
	several	FINAL	Medicine Bow N.F., Timber Management Plan	51379	09-12-75	USDA

FROM 09-01-75
TO 09-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL				
	FINAL	Capitol Power Plant	51406	09-19-75
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE				
Forest Service				
	DRAFT	West Slope of the Tetons, Targhee N.F.	51388	09-16-75
		West Kootenai Planning Unit	51402	09-18-75
		Herbicide Use, Pacific NW Region	51416	09-22-75
		East Deer Creek Unit, Colville N.F.	51421	09-22-75
		Forest Highway 34	51457	09-29-75
		Kilkenny Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F.	51463	09-30-75
	FINAL	Medicine Bow N.F., Timber Management Plan	51379	09-12-75
		Rainy Day Planning Unit, Nezperce N. F.	51407	09-22-75
		Lyndon B. Johnson Nat'l Grasslands, Unit Plan	51439	09-25-75
		Caddo National Grasslands Unit Plan	51440	09-25-75
Soil Conservation Service				
	DRAFT	Buckhorn-Mesa Watershed	51357	09-10-75
		Deer Creek Watershed	51380	09-12-75
		South Tyger River Watershed	51386	09-15-75
		Lost River Watershed (2)	51432	09-23-75
		Flint Creek Watershed	51451	09-29-75
	FINAL	Rock Creek Watershed	51319	09-02-75
		Duralde-des Cannes Watershed	51341	09-08-75
		S. Fork of Blackwater R. Watershed	51398	09-16-75
		North and South Mill Creek Subwatershed	51401	09-17-75
		Pott-Sem-Turkey Watershed	51405	09-19-75
		Pine Run Watershed Project	51436	09-25-75
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE				
Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.				
	DRAFT	Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals	51355	09-09-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army				
	DRAFT	Blackbird Control, Army Installations (Supplement)	51359	09-10-75
		Ft. Belvoir Housing Project	51429	09-22-75
		Rocket Disposal, Dugway Proving Ground	51449	09-29-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps				
	DRAFT	New River and Phoenix City Streams	51314	09-02-75

FROM 09-01-75
TO 09-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps				
	DRAFT	Moreau River Flood Control	51316	09-02-75
		Little River Development Plan, Clark Hill Lake	51326	09-02-75
		Pomona Lake, Kansas, O&M	51328	09-03-75
		Duluth Stormwater Flood Control	51334	09-04-75
		Great Bend Local Protection Project	51340	09-08-75
		Walnut Creek Project	51352	09-09-75
		Bayou Des Familles Corp. Flood Protection	51353	09-09-75
		McNary Second Powerhouse (2)	51358	09-10-75
		Aguna Small Boat Harbor (Supplement)	51360	09-10-75
		Rocky River Harbor, O&M	51377	09-12-75
		Vermilion Harbor, O&M	51385	09-15-75
		Bassett Creek Watershed	51387	09-15-75
		Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant	51389	09-16-75
		Charleston Harbor, Ashley R., Cooper R. Dredging	51390	09-16-75
		San Leandro Marina	51392	09-16-75
		Red River Chloride Control	51400	09-17-75
		Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, S.C.	51418	09-22-75
		Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek	51427	09-22-75
		Upper Susitna R., Hydroelectric Development	51430	09-23-75
		Mississippi R., Baton Rouge to Gulf of Mexico (S)	51433	09-25-75
		Theodore Ship Channel, Mobile Bay	51438	09-25-75
		Bonneville Lock and Dam, 2nd Powerhouse (S)	51458	09-29-75
	FINAL	Santa Cruz Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51312	09-02-75
		Moss Landing Harbor, California	51327	09-03-75
		Maintenance of Browns Creek, Long Island	51333	09-04-75
		Double Bayou, Maintenance Dredging	51335	09-04-75
		New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway	51338	09-04-75
		Blue River Basin Projects, Kansas and Missouri	51342	09-08-75
		Okatibbee Dam and Lake, Operation and Maintenance	51346	09-08-75
		Tao Stream, Flood Control	51347	09-08-75
		Lake Barkely Disposal	51349	09-08-75
		Two Harbors Harbor, Operation and Maintenance	51361	09-10-75
		Port Washington Navigation Project	51362	09-10-75
		Black Rock Channel and Tonawanda Harbor	51363	09-10-75
		Holland Harbor, Disposal Facilities	51364	09-10-75
		Sandusky Harbor Maintenance	51365	09-10-75
		Kewaunee Harbor Maintenance, Wisconsin	51366	09-10-75
		Red Lake and Clearwater Rivers Project	51367	09-10-75
		Fairport Harbor Maintenance	51368	09-10-75
		Conneaut Harbor O&M	51369	09-10-75
		Lorain Harbor, Maintenance	51370	09-10-75
		Erie Harbor, Pennsylvania, Maintenance	51371	09-10-75
		Lake Shelbyville, Operation and Maintenance	51372	09-10-75
		Beach Erosion Project, Duval County, Florida	51373	09-11-75
		Trexler Lake, Supplement	51381	09-12-75

FROM 09-01-75
TO 09-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps				
	FINAL	Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway, Navigation Season	51384	09-15-75
		East Moline, Flood Protection System	51391	09-16-75
		Brunswick Harbor Maintenance Dredging	51394	09-16-75
		Big Pine Lake, Red River Co.	51424	09-22-75
		Lake Brownwood Modification	51425	09-22-75
		Clear Creek and Clear Lake	51434	09-25-75
		Everett Harbor and Snohomish River Navigation	51437	09-25-75
		Columbia and Lower Willamette River, Maintenance	51441	09-25-75
		Aubrey Lake, Supplement	51442	09-25-75
		Big Creek and Metro Zoo Flood Protection	51446	09-26-75
		Cuyahoga River Sediment Removal	51447	09-26-75
		Clarence J. Brown Dam and Reservoir	51455	09-29-75
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy				
	DRAFT	NAS Miramar, Restrictive Use Easements	51404	09-19-75
	FINAL	Naval Personnel Admin. Complex, Belle Chasse	51431	09-23-75
DEPARTMENT OF HUD	DRAFT	Bathtub, Shower Fire Safety Requirements	51448	09-29-75
	FINAL	Government Square, Triangle Urban Renewal Projects	51321	09-02-75
		Wildwood Addition Mortgage Insurance, Decatur	51345	09-08-75
Section 104(h)				
	DRAFT	Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello	51313	09-02-75
		Cleveland's Demolition Program	51332	09-03-75
		Vallejo Waterfront Redevelopment	51420	09-22-75
		Sand Point Park, Seattle	51461	09-29-75
	FINAL	Pascack Brook Improvement Project	51348	09-08-75
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR				
Bonneville Power Administration				
	DRAFT	Pot Springs-Bell 500-kV line	51396	09-16-75
Bureau of Land Management				
	DRAFT	Coal Mining Regulations	51399	09-16-75
	FINAL	Proposed Coal Leasing Program	51403	09-19-75
Bureau of Outdoor Recreation				
	DRAFT	Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail	51309	09-02-75
		Bruneau Wild and Scenic Rier	51395	09-16-75
		New River Gorge, Nat'l Wild and Scenic Rivers	51450	09-29-75
Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife				
	FINAL	Desert Wilderness Area	51336	09-04-75

FROM 09-01-75
TO 09-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR				
National Park Service				
	FINAL	Proposed Master Plan, Hawaii Volcanoes N.P.	51337	09-04-75
		Rehabilitation of the National Mall	51356	09-10-75
		Grand Teton N.P., Master Plan	51435	09-25-75
		City of Refuge National Historical Park	51452	09-29-75
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
Federal Aviation Administration				
	DRAFT	New Kent Co. Airport, Quinton, Virginia	51428	09-22-75
	FINAL	New Orleans Lakefront Airport	51311	09-02-75
		Detroit-Wayne Co. Airport, Runway (Supplement)	51409	09-22-75
Federal Highway Administration				
	DRAFT	John C. Calhoun Expressway Extension	51310	09-02-75
		US 95 West Leg, Las Vegas	51318	09-02-75
		Florida Street Extension, Mobile	51320	09-02-75
		SR 21, (Blanding Blvd.), Clay Co.	51322	09-02-75
		Nebraska Hwy 133 (90th St.), Omaha	51331	09-03-75
		US 301, Screven Co.	51343	09-08-75
		Beltway 8, US 59 North to I.H. 10 East	51375	09-12-75
		US 1133 (University Avenue), Las Cruces	51376	09-12-75
		Seventeenth St. Extension, Altoona	51378	09-12-75
		Hilo Bayfront Highway, FAP 19	51397	09-16-75
		Route 36, St. Joseph and Missouri River	51417	09-22-75
		St. Joe River Road (Forest Route 50)	51419	09-22-75
		I-255, St. Louis	51422	09-22-75
		LR 1074, Spur F, Section A00	51443	09-29-75
		Loop 151, Texarkana	51453	09-29-75
		State Primary Route 146	51456	09-29-75
		I-15W Raft River-Rockland Jct.	51459	09-29-75
		Omaha North Freeway	51464	09-30-75
	FINAL	East Belt Freeway, Little Rock	51315	09-02-75
		Interstate 5, Divide-Anlauf Section	51317	09-02-75
		I-84, Hudson River Crossing	51323	09-02-75
		Rte 87, Guadalupe Parkway, San Jose	51324	09-02-75
		I 664 - Hampton Roads	51330	09-03-75
		Georgia S.R. 365	51344	09-08-75
		Route 55 Freeway	51354	09-08-75
		US-31, Michigan	51382	09-12-75
		Alabama Route 59, Baldwin County	51393	09-16-75
		Lake Otis Parkway, Anchorage	51423	09-22-75
		U.S. 101, Elk River - Port Orford Section	51426	09-22-75
		Route 58	51445	09-26-75
		I-70, Debeque-Grand Valley	51460	09-29-75

FROM 09-01-75
TO 09-30-75

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

STATEMENT TITLE INDEX - BY AGENCY, BUREAU, & STATUS

AGENCY / BUREAU	STATUS	STATEMENT TITLE	ACCESSION NUMBER	DATE FILED
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION				
U.S.Coast Guard				
	DRAFT	LORAN-C, Gulf of Alaska	51350	09-08-75
ENERGY RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.				
	FINAL	Underground Nuclear Testing Program (Supplement)	51374	09-12-75
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY				
	DRAFT	Palling Creek Wastewater Treatment	51339	09-08-75
		N. Fremont Co. Wastewater Facilities	51351	09-08-75
		Chambers Creek Sewerage System	51404	09-25-75
	FINAL	Aliso Water Management Agency Project	51329	09-03-75
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment	51308	09-02-75
		Holt, Bankhead, and Lewis Smith Projects, Alabama	51325	09-02-75
		Rocky Mt. Project, Georgia	51383	09-12-75
		Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment	51408	09-22-75
		Texas Eastern Transmisson Corp., Curtailment	51410	09-22-75
		Trunkline Gas Co. Curtailment	51411	09-22-75
		Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., Curtailment	51412	09-22-75
		United Gas Pipeline Co., Curtailment	51413	09-22-75
	FINAL	American Fork Creek Project No. 696	51414	09-22-75
		Bath County Project, Virginia	51415	09-22-75
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION				
	DRAFT	C&NW Transportation Co., Docket AB1 (Sub.-No. 9)	51462	09-30-75
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				
	FINAL	Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Sta., Units 1-3	51454	09-29-75

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: Dr. Powden G. Maxwell
Coordinator of Environmental
Quality Activities
Office of the Secretary
U.S.Department of Agriculture
Room 359-A,
Washington, D.C. 20250
(202) 447-3965

Forest Service

Draft — Date

Forest Highway 34 — 09/29

Arizona
County: Graham
The proposal is to complete construction of the Swift Trail, located in the Pimaleno Mountians of Coronado National Forest. Four alternatives were considered, ranging from reconstruction of a new road on different alignment to retention of the old road. All four alternatives are capable of putting more people on the mountain than the mountain's resources can accommodate; therefore, controls will have to be instituted to avoid overusing them. All alternatives will also affect wildlife because of increased human use.
(ELR ORDER # 51457)

West Slope of the Tetons, Targhee N.F. — 09/16

Idaho Wyoming
The statement concerns the land use plan for the 297,000 acre West Slope of the Tetons Planning Unit of the Targhee National Forest in Teton and Fremont Counties, Idaho, and Teton County, Wyoming. The major adverse environmental impacts will be those on soil, water, vegetation, wildlife, and esthetics caused by road building, timber harvests, livestock grazing, recreation use and mineral activity. Approximately 167,000 acres of the unit were selected as a wilderness study area.
(ELR ORDER # 51388)

West Kootenai Planning Unit — 09/18

Montana
County: Lincoln
The action involves the implementation of a revised multiple use plan for the 68,996 acre West Kootenai Planning Unit, Kootenai National Forest. The plan emphasizes recreation, production of forest products, and an increased transportation system. Implementation of the program will result in development of natural areas, temporary acceleration of soil and vegetation disturbance,

and noise and air pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51402)

Herbicide Use, Pacific NW Region 09/22

Oregon Washington California
The action covered by the statement involves the use of the following herbicides: 2,4-D, 2,4,5-T, silvex, atrazine, dicamba, dalapon, amitrole, picloram, monosodium methane-arsenate, and cacodylic acid on National Forest lands of Oregon, Washington, and California. The herbicide program will result in the death or subordinate position of target species so that more desirable species can increase. Herbicides will be used to maintain rights-of-way for power transmission lines, railroads and highways, and to control poisonous plants.
(ELR ORDER # 51416)

East Deer Creek Unit, Colville N.F. 09/22

Washington
County: Perry
The proposed action consists of a more intensive land use plan for management of a heavily timbered 12,410 acre planning unit that supplies domestic water for the town of Orient. The natural condition of the 7200 acre roadless area and the opportunity for solitude in the Unit will decrease significantly as the proposed road network and timber management program is implemented. Water quality will be maintained in lower East Deer Creek at the present condition, but additional environmental constraints, more costly, sophisticated yarding methods and reduced road access will increase the cost of timber management and will reduce the annual harvest for the short term.
(ELR ORDER # 51421)

Final Date

Rainy Day Planning Unit, Nezperce N. F. 09/22

Idaho
County: Idaho
The statement concerns a multiple use plan for the 45,300-acre Rainy Day Planning Unit of Nezperce National Forest. The most likely alternative includes plans for protection of the Ten-Mile Watershed, limited mining, and timber harvesting.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA
state agencies and concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 51407)

Kilkenny Unit Plan, White Mt. N.F. 09/30

New Hampshire
County: Coos
The proposed land use plan for the Kilkenny Unit of the White Mountain National Forest includes strategic water quality monitoring, major deer yard management, restrictions on use, modified transportation system for foot travel and motorized use, road closures, minimal expansion of developed recreation facilities, timber sales producing over 4 million board feet per year, acquisition priorities on major inholdings, use of fire management for wildlife habitat improvement, and no wilderness designation for this planning period. Implementation of the plan will result in decreased tax revenues, and increased waste problems due to dispersed off-road recreation.
(ELR ORDER # 51463)

Lyndon B. Johnson Nat'l Grasslands, Unit Plan 09/25

Texas
County: Wise Montague
The statement concerns the proposed 10-year management for the Lyndon B. Johnson Unit (the name change from Cross Timbers to LBJ effective June 1, 1975). The unit contains 20,332 acres of federally-owned lands. Adverse effects from the plan will be from road, trail, and recreation area construction, brush control, gully and steambank stabilization work, and littering and soil compaction from increased recreation use.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA EPA COE
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51439)

Caddo National Grasslands Unit Plan 09/25

Texas
County: Fannin
The statement concerns the proposed 10-year management for the Caddo Unit of the Caddo-Lyndon B. Johnson National Grasslands, now called Caddo National Grasslands. The unit contains 17,729 acres of federally-owned lands. The major environmental impacts will be on water quality, range, wildlife, and soils due to recreation use at developed sites and the areas of concentrated public use. Adverse visual effects will also occur from fence construction and gully and stream stabilization work.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51440)

Medicine Bow N.F., Timber Management Plan 09/12

Wyoming
County: several
The statement refers to the revision of the 1961 Timber Management Plan for the Medicine Bow National Forest. The plan applies to 350,000 acres and recommends harvesting

timber from 66,000 to 89,000 acres during the ten-year period from 1975-1985. The annual yield from this harvest will be approximately 97,000 to 120,000 cunits of wood products including 44 to 54 million board feet of sawtimber. About 2,840 acres annually will be harvested by the clearcut silvicultural method, 2,870 by the shelterwood silvicultural method, and 1,520 acres will be treated by intermediate thinings. The major ipacts will be those resulting from road construction.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI
state agencies and concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 51379)

Soil Conservation Service

Draft	Date
Buckhorn-Mesa Watershed	09/10

Arizona
County: Maricopa Pinal
The watershed protection and flood prevention project consists of the construction of five floodwater retarding dams for the purpose of flood control and increased efficiency of irrigation water use. Construction activity will result in loss of 164 acres of desert riparian and 799 acres of desert upland vegetation. Installation of the structures will require the relocation of four families.
(ELR ORDER # 51357)

Lost River Watershed (2) 09/23

Indiana
County: several
Proposed is a project for watershed protection, flood prevention, municipal and industrial water supply, and recreation in Dubois, Lawrence, Martin, Orange, and Washington Counites. The project will eliminate agricultural use of 997 acres in dams, spillways, permanent pools, and recreation facilities, but will allow 384 acres of pasture and 175 acres of woodland to be converted to cropland through reduction of flood damages. Approximately 18.5 miles of perennial and intermittent feeder streams will be inundated.
(ELR ORDER # 51432)

Deer Creek Watershed 09/12

Mississippi
County: Bolivar Washington
The project consists of 50.1 miles of channel work to reduce problems of erosion, sedimentation, flooding, drainage, and low income in Bolivar and Washington Counties, Mississippi. Forest land wildlife habitat will be lost on 341 acres and approximately 89 acres of wetlands

will be subject to drainage as a result of the structural measures. During construction there will be reduced air and water quality.
(ELR ORDER # 51380)

Flint Creek Watershed 09/29

New York
County: Ontario Steuben Yates
Proposed is a project for watershed protection and flood prevention for the Flint Creek Watershed. The project will consist of conservation land treatment measures on 16,630 acres and about 18.7 miles of channel work. Installation of floodwater retarding structures will require the commitment of 28 acres of cropland and 13 acres of forest land and will cause construction inconveniences, short term increase in sediment and turbidity.
(ELR ORDER # 51451)

South Tyger River Watershed 09/15

South Carolina
County: Greenville
The statement concerns a revised project for watershed protection, flood prevention, municipal and industrial water storage and recreation in Greenville County, South Carolina. Conservation land treatment systems will be installed on 1,200 acres of cropland to reduce sediment runoff. The two structural measures remaining to be installed will inundate 853 acres, temporarily flood 1,030 acres, and utilize a total area of 1,936 acres requiring 719 acres to be cleared of trees. The ambient air quality and water quality will be degraded for a short period during construction.
(ELR ORDER # 51386)

Final Date

Duralde-des Cannes Watershed 09/08

Louisiana
County: Acadia Evangeline
The statement concerns a project for watershed protection and flood prevention on 36,440 acres of cropland and pastureland in Acadia and Evangeline Parishes, Louisiana. An estimated 209 miles of channel work and installation of five structures for water control are included. The five weirs will permanently inundate 21 acres of land. Approximately 327 additional acres of land will be committed to new channels, berms, and spoil.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP FRW COE DOT DOI USCG
EDF and state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51341)

S. Fork of Blackwater R. Watershed 09/16

Missouri
County: Johnson
The recommended plan of improvements consists of land treatment measures, seven floodwater retarding structures,one multiple-purpose structure for flood prevention, municipal and industrial water supply, and recreational development, and one grade stabilization structure. The project will reduce flood damage on 12,311 acres. Installation of the project will change the land use of areas needed for structural measures. Project installation will cause relocation of six farming operations.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE HEW
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51398)

Pott-Sem-Turkey Watershed 09/19

Oklahoma
County: Seminole Pottawatomie
The statement refers to the Pott-Sem-Turkey Watershed protection and flood prevention project in Pottawatomie and and Seminole Counties, Oklahoma. Project measures include accelerated application of land measures for 22,247 acres of agricultural land and installation of 11 floodwater retarding structures for the reduction of floodwater sediment, and erosion damages on 2,564 acres of flood plain land. Adverse impacts include the use of 110 acres of farmland for dams and spillways, the permanent inundation of 297 acres, the intermittent inundation of 833 acres, the disturbance or destruction of 18 archeological sites, and temporary construction disturbances.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI EPA
state and regional agencies
National Audubon Society
(ELR ORDER # 51405)

Rock Creek Watershed 09/02

Oregon
County: Gilliam Morrow
The statement refers to the Rock Creek watershed protection, land treatment, flood prevention, and irrigation water storage and distribution in Gilliam and Morrow Counties. Adverse impacts are increased turbidity, increased dust and noise pollution, removal of 290 acres of rangeland vegetation, and construction disruptions.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AEP DOC COE DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51319)

Pine Run Watershed Project 09/25

Pennsylvania
County: Montgomery
The statement refers to a project for watershed protection and flood prevention in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania. The dams, spillways, and permanent pools will commit 35 acres of land and approximately five acres of woodlands and nine acres of open land will be disturbed by borrow pits.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51436)

North and South Mill Creek Subwatershed 09/17

West Virginia
County: Grant Pendleton Hardy
Proposed is the construction of 5 single-purpose floodwater retarding dams and one multi-purpose floodwater retarding and recreation dam for purposes of flood control and recreation. The project will inundate 102 acres of farm land and 1.9 miles of stream.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOI DOI EPA AHP
state agencies
(FLR ORDER # 51401)

ARCHITECT OF THE CAPITOL

Contact: Mr. George M. White
Architect of the Capitol
United States Capitol
Room SB 15
Washington, D.C. 20515
(202) 225-1200

Final — Date

Capitol Power Plant — 09/19

District of Co
The statement refers to the proposed construction of a refrigeration plant building which is to be the first module of a long range expansion program for the Capitol Power Plant. The Plant presently produces steam for heating and chilled water for air conditioning for the Capitol, the two Senate Office Buildings, the three House Office Buildings, the Supreme Court Building, and the two Library of Congress Buildings; and steam heat alone for several other buildings. No significant adverse impact is expected from the action.
COMMENTS MADE BY:
(ELR ORDER # 51406)

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Contact: Dr. Sidney R. Galler
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Environmental Affairs
Department of Commerce
Washington, D.C. 20230
(202) 967-4335

Nat'l Oceanic and Atmospheric Admin.

Draft — Date

Commercial Fishing, Marine Mammals — 09/09

The statement concerns the promulgation of rules and proposed issuance of permits to commercial fishermen allowing the taking of marine mammals in the course of normal commercial fishing operations. The statement indicates that the current, fishery-induced mortality should not result in any rapid, long-term reduction in the affected porpoise stocks.
(ELR ORDER # 51355)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army

Contact: Mr. George A. Cunney, Jr.
Acting Chief, Environmental Office
Directorate of Installations
Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff
for Logistics
Washington, D.C. 20310
(202) OX 4-4269

Draft — Date

Blackbird Control, Army Installations (Supplement) — 09/10

Kentucky Tennessee
The statement supplements the final EIS filed with CEQ 27 January 1975 and concerns the proposed method for controlling large roosts of blackbirds over-wintering at Ft. Campbell, Kentucky, and Milan Army Ammunition Plant (AAP). Included is an alternative to the PA-14 method which was suggested by an environmental group and a summary and tabulation of public reaction to the use of PA-14.
(ELR ORDER # 51359)

Mississippi Army Ammunition Plant — 09/16

Mississippi
County: Hancock
Proposed is the construction of a modern integrated ammunition plant to manufacture an improved type of 155 mm artillery ammunition. The site selected is an undeveloped section of the NASA National Space and Technology Laboratory. The project will result in a projected population increase of 1700 persons (450 of these shool-age children). Long term environmental impacts include addition of sanitary landfill operation and discharge of treated wastes into Pearl River with the possibility of using some natural stream assimilative capacity.
(ELR ORDER # 51389)

Rocket Disposal, Dugway Proving Ground — 09/29

Utah
The statement concerns the disposal of approximately 569 M55 rockets containing the lethal chemical agent GB, and some burster explosive. The proposed operation consists of neutralizing the GB by placing those residue items containing the agent in tanks of caustic solution which will dissolve the aluminum warhead and then react with and neutralize the GB. The statement indicates no adverse environmental impact or controversy concerning the project.
(ELR ORDER # 51449)

Ft. Belvoir Housing Project 09/22

Virginia
County: Fairfax
The action considered is the change of use of approximately 800 acres of land of Fort Belvoir from troop support and training to military family housing. The project would provide 2,300 additional housing units. The action will result in the partial or complete destruction of 426 acres of land used as wildlife habitat and the consequent reduction of fauna in the area. The roadway networks, both on and off the post will become more congested. (ELR ORDER # 51429)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Army Corps

Contact: Mr. Francis X. Kelly
Director, Office of Public Affairs
Attn: DAEN-PAP
Office of the Chief of Engineers
U. S. Army Corps of Engineers
1000 Independence Avenue, S. W.
Washington, D. C. 20314
(202) 693-6861

Draft — Date

Theodore Ship Channel, Mobile Bay — 09/25

Alabama
Proposed is the construction of 7.1 miles of channel from Mobile Bay to the Theodore Industrial Park. Dredged material would be deposited to form an island in the bay located north of the proposed diagonal channel. The major adverse environmental effects would include loss of bottom-dwelling organisms, temporarily increased turbidity, salinity intrusion, loss of physical habitat and aquatic vegetation adjacent to the inland channel, minor and localized modification of circulation patterns in the bay, degradation of the local esthetics, and displacement of people. (Mobile District)
(ELR ORDER # 51438)

Upper Susitna R., Hydroelectric Development — 09/23

Alaska
The recommended plan is to construct dams on the upper Susitna River at Watana and Devil Canyon, powerplants, electric transmission facilities to the Railbelt load centers, access roads, and permanent operating and recreational facilities. The two-dam system would inundate some 50,500 acres extending 84 miles upstream from Devil Canyon Dam forcing foreclosure of future mineral extraction from inundated land, and inundation of one historical site. Moose habitat will be disturbed and there will be social impacts resulting from the seasonal nature of the construction work and demands made upon small community services. (Anchorage District)
(ELR ORDER # 51430)

New River and Phoenix City Streams — 09/02

Arizona
County: Maricopa
The project is the second phase of a 5-phase plan designed to serve as a framework for flood control in the Phoenix area. Three flood control dams, to be located on Cave Creek, Skunk Creek, and New River, will be constructed. A 17 mile long diversion channel, containing both earth and concrete sections will be constructed immediately north of

and parallel to the Arizona Channel. Adverse impacts include the loss or alteration of 410 acres of riparian habitat, the alteration or destruction of 3 archeological sites, the urbanization of 310 acres of open space, and the relocation of 237 homes and 25 businesses. (Los Angeles District)
(ELR ORDER # 51314)

Walnut Creek Project 09/09

California
County: Contra Costa
The Walnut Creek project, now 43% complete, consists of construction of rectangular concrete-lined channels and flood control structures in Walnut Creek and the lower reaches of its principal tributaries. Completed portions of the project have resulted in the elimination of most of the riparian vegetation on the surrounding flood plain and the intensive urbanization in the form of towns, housing developments, shopping centers, roads, and utilities. (Sacramento District)
(ELR ORDER # 51352)

San Leandro Marina 09/16

California
County: Alameda
Proposed is the construction of a riprap protective breakwater near the entrance to San Leandro Marina. The purpose of the breakwater is to provide safe navigation and to protect existing and planned marina development from damage due to storm winds and waves entering the marina from the south. Temporary construction disruption will result. (San Francisco)
(ELR ORDER # 51392)

Santa Ana R. Main Stem & Santiago Creek 09/22

California
County: Orange Riverside San Bernardino
The project includesthe construction of a new reservoir upstream of Prado Dam; modification and expansion of the existing Prado Reservoir; improvement of the existing Santa Ana River channel; improvement of the lower Santiago Creek Channel; development of water conservation, recreational and wildlife enhancement facilities in and along the above; acquisition and protection of natural amenities in Santa Ana Canyon; and acquisition and preservation of a 92 acre salt marsh area. The project will displace at least 148 homes and a significant portion of the dairy industry in the Prado Reservoir area. The Santa Ana River wash and 8 acres of salt marsh will be lost. (Los Angeles)
(ELR ORDER # 51427)

Little River Development Plan, Clark Hill Lake 09/02

Georgia South Carolina
The Little River Development plan proposes the lease of 1,700 acres of the Clark Hill Project (public) lands from the Corps of Engineers for the development of a private home site and recreation complex. This complex would consist of nature trails, tent and trailer camping areas, rental cabins, a beach area, commercial stores, a marina and a motel, an 18-hole golf course, and second home development. Adverse impacts include severly reduced or eliminated wild turkey population used by the State of South Carolina wildlife department to restock other game lands, loss of forest resources, increase in human encroachment, and conversion of public lands to private homes.
(ELR ORDER # 51326)

Pomona Lake, Kansas, O&M 09/03

Kansas
County: Osage
The statement concerns the continued operation and maintenance of Pamona Lake, consisting of water control operation and maintenance of recreation areas, and management of project land and water resources. The major adverse effect associated with the operation of the project is related to flood control operations. Shoreline erosion, loss of vegetation, disruption of recreation use, and damage to project roads and recreation areas result from these fluctuations. Sedimentation affects benthic life, fish populations, and recreational use of the lake.
(ELR ORDER # 51328)

Great Bend Local Protection Project 09/08

Kansas
County: Barton
The Great Bend, Kansas local protection project consists of the construction of 14.6 miles of leveed channel and will require the acquisition of 1,250 acres of right-of-way for levees and channels, 35 acres for ponding areas and 80 acres for flowage easements by the local sponsor, the City of Great Bend, Kansas. Three highway bridges and two railroad bridges will be constructed across Walnut Creek Diversion Channel and one new bridge will be required. The project will result in temporary construction disruption and may adversely affect several archeological sites.
(Albuquerque District)
(ELR ORDER # 51340)

Bayou Des Familles Corp. Flood Protection 09/09

Louisiana
County: Jefferson
The project will provide for the completion of dredging operations that will result in the construction of a flood protection levee in the south central portion of Jefferson Parish approximately 5 miles northwest of Crown Point. Construction of this levee will result in a permanent alteration of landform, temporary increases in turbidity, decreases in dissolved oxygen and a decrease in primary productivity. Terrestrial habitat will be destroyed within the construction limits of the levee section, resulting in the displacement and loss of wildlife. (New Orleans District)
(ELR ORDER # 51353)

Mississippi R., Baton Rouge to Gulf of Mexico (S) 09/25

Louisiana
The statement supplements the final EIS filed with CEQ 8July 1974. The statement includes omissions from the final EIS and unanticipated changes in dredging regulations that have occurred since the final was filed. The prolonged and recurrent high flows of the Mississippi have also required reconsideration of maintenance needs in certain areas. (Mobile District)
(ELR ORDER # 51433)

Duluth Stormwater Flood Control 09/04

Michigan
The proposed plan for stormwater flood protection includes both structural and nonstructural measures. The plan consists of structural measures to accomodate the 100-year flood on 14 of the 42 study area streams. Flood plain regulation and flood insurance will be used exclusively on the remaining 28 study area streams. Two of the three proposed impoundments are designed to hold water for only a few days, and the animal populations upstream would suffer periodic losses especially when high water levels occur during or soon after breeding season. Some adverse impacts associated with floodplain regulation and flood insurance, such as land sale, would be unavoidable.
(ELR ORDER # 51334)

Roseau River Flood Control 09/02

Minnesota
County: Roseau Kittson
The project would include modification of the existing Roseau River channel, construction of channel cutoffs, and

installation of related works along the river between the city of Roseau and the Canadian border in northwestern Minnesota. The project would result in a decrease in bank stability which could result in some localized sloughing or erosion of the channel slope. Habitat alterations will cause a decrease in wildlife. (St. Paul District)
(ELR ORDER # 51316)

Bassett Creek Watershed 09/15

Minnesota
County: Hennepin
The proposed action for the Bassett Creek Watershed includes structural measures involving a 1.5 mile underground conduit and deep tunnel, temporary storage areas, one permanent impoundment, channel modifications, embankments, floodwalls, and culverts and weirs. Non-structural measures are floodplain evacuation, flood proofing and continuation of the existing floodplain regulation and flood insurance programs. The channel modifications, embankments and the permanent impoundment would result in adverse impacts on existing vegetation and aquatic ecosystems. (St. Paul District)
(ELR ORDER # 51387)

Rocky River Harbor, O&M 09/12

Ohio
County: Cuyahoga
Proposed is the dredging of approximately 30,000 cubic yards of sediment from the authorized navigation channels at Rocky River Harbor once every three years, and maintenance of the existing east pier. Dredged materials unsuitable for open-lake disposal will be placed in diked disposal facilities. Adverse impacts of the project include disturbance of benthic communities within the open-lake disposal areas, resuspension of potentially harmful substances, and increased turbidity levels during maintenance procedures. (Buffalo District)
(ELR ORDER # 51377)

Vermilion Harbor, O&M 09/15

Ohio
County: Erie
The statement concerns the dredging of about 24,000 cubic yards of sediment from the Vermilion Harbor area approximately once every three years and maintenance of existing harbor structures. Harbor dredgings suitable for open-lake disposal will be deposited in a designated open-lake site in Lake Erie. Dredgings not suitable for open-lake disposal will be transported approximately 10 miles to to Huron Harbor and deposited in a diked disposal area.

The project will result in the disturbance of benthic communities, air and water pollution, and increased turbidity. (Buffalo District)
(ELR ORDER # 51385)

McNary Second Powerhouse (2) 09/10

Oregon Washington
The statement is the revision of a draft EIS filed with CEQ 17 October 75. The proposed action is the construction of a second powerhouse at the existing McNary Lock and Dam project on the Columbia River. The proposal calls for the new powerhouse to hold ten additional generator units. Adverse environmental effects include increases in water fluctuation, hindrance to navigation in the downstream approach channel, increased fish mortality, and construction disruption. (Walla Walla District)
(ELR ORDER # 51358)

Charleston Harbor, Ashley R., Cooper R. Dredging 09/16

South Carolina
County: Charleston Berkeley
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of channels and turning basins in the Charleston Harbor, Shipyard River, Ashley River, Navy Channel, and Navy piers and slips. Adverse impacts include the possible loss of organisms through leaching of toxic substances from upland disposal areas, the possible reduction in dissolved oxygen levels as a result of the dredge disturbing organic materials undergoing anaerobic decomposition, possible displacement of wildlife species, alteration of existing vegetation in disposal areas, and an increase in the local mosquito population. (Charleston District)
(ELR ORDER # 51390)

Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, S.C. 09/22

South Carolina
Proposed is the maintenance of a channel 12 feet deep and not less than 90 feet wide in the Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway from Little River to and including Port Royal Sound, a total distance of 210 miles. The project will result in the increase in turbidity and siltation in the vicinity of the dredge, disturbance of disposal areas, the distruction of some benthic organisms by the cutterhead, and an increase in the local mosquito population.
(Charleston District)
(ELR ORDER # 51418)

Red River Chloride Control 09/17

Texas Oklahoma
Proposed is the construction of four low-flow dams, three brine dams, six subsurface cut-off walls, six shallow well

collection systems, and installation of associated pumps and pipelines for collecting, transporting and storing brine-laden waters. There will be a total of about 8,000 acres of land eventually inundated with brine. The brine will cause a progressive degradation of the soil and vegetation, causing displacement of land animals. The aesthetic conditions surrounding the brine pools may be regarded as an encroachment upon a wild landscape by man-made features. (Tulsa District)
(ELR ORDER # 51400)

Bonneville Lock and Dam, 2nd Powerhouse (S) 09/29

Washington Oregon
The proposed action is the relocation of the town of North Bonneville to a new site on the Washington shore about 2 miles west of the existing town to allow the completion of construction of the second powerhouse project at Bonneville Dam. Social impacts of relocation would be severed at least temporarily, and residents would incur increased indebtedness in relocating to new facilities. The crime rate would increase due to the number of vacant homes, and recreation activities in the vicinity of the new town site would be restricted for a period of 6 to 10 years.
(ELR ORDER # 51458)

Final Date

Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway, Navigation Season 09/15

The FY 1976 Navigation Season Extension Program is part of an ongoing investigation to demonstrate the practicability of certain enabling measures for extending the commercial navigation season on the Great Lakes-St. Lawrence Seaway System. The activities proposed, including bubbler-flusher systems, are expected to have minimal impact if any.
(Detroit District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA DOC AEC USDA FPC OEO NOAA
state and local agencies
and private organizations
(ELR ORDER # 51384)

Santa Cruz Harbor Maintenance Dredging 09/02

California
County: Santa Cruz
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of the entrance channel to Santa Cruz Harbor, with the disposal of dredge spoil on the beach east of the jetty. There will be increased turbidity and disruption of biota as a result of the actions.
(San Francisco District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT EPA HEW DOI
state and local agencies
and one individual
(ELR ORDER # 51312)

Moss Landing Harbor, California 09/03

California
Proposed is the maintenance dredging of the entrance channel and harbor channel at Moss Landing. Dredged spoil will be deposited at the head of the Monterey Canyon at the 60 foot isobath at the end of Sandholdt Pier. Adverse impact will accrue to marine biota. (San Francisco District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOI HEW EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51327)

Beach Erosion Project, Duval County, Florida 09/11

Florida
County: Duval
The project consists of placing 3.3 million cubic yards of sand along 10 miles of Atlantic Ocean shoreline in Duval County. Periodic nourishment will be required to compensate for erosion losses during the fifty year life of the project. Dredging will temporarily degrade water quality, close the beach, and destroy most benthic organisms in the borrow and nourishment areas. (Jacksonville District)

COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI EPA DOC
one state agency
(ELR ORDER # 51373)

Brunswick Harbor Maintenance Dredging 09/16

Georgia
The statement concerns the maintenance of Brunswick Harbor navigation channels and the entrance and turning basin of the East River Channel by dredging shoaled sediments. Adverse impacts include the destruction of benthic habitats by covering with dredge, and temporary increase in suspended solids and turbidity.
(Savannah District)
COMMENTS MADE BY:
(ELR ORDER # 51394)

Agana Small Boat Harbor (Supplement) 09/10

Guam
Proposed is the construction of a small boat harbor in Agana Bay to meet both recreational and subsistence-type fishing needs for the Agana tributary area. The project will consist of a revetted mole, two breakwaters, a wave absorber, four circulation channels, and navigation channels. Adverse impacts include the loss of about 37 acres of flat reef habitat to harbor use, the overall increase in vehicular traffic within the area, and a possible conflict of use among boaters, surfers, and fishermen. (Honolulu District)
(ELR ORDER # 51360)

Iao Stream, Flood Control 09/08

Hawaii
County: Maui
The statement refers to the Iao Stream Flood Control project in Maui. The plan combines about 4,400 Ft. of concrete channelization between the debris basin and near the mouth of the stream, with about 7,300 ft. of stream left in its existing condition, but with a system of levees and floodwalls to protect adjacent lands. Adverse impacts are soil erosion and degradation of visual quality. (Honolulu District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP DOC DOI EPA DOT USDA
state agencies and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51347)

Lake Shelbyville, Operation and Maintenance 09/10

Illinois
County: Shelby Moultrie
The statement discusses the continued operation and maintenance of Lake Shelbyville in Shelby and Moultrie Counties. Project purposes include floodcontrol, water supply, navigation, recreation, and fish and wildlife conservation. Adverse impacts include the loss of some aquatic and terrestrial communities due to water level fluctuations, small floods caused by discharges, and the damage of some natural areas by recreational development.
(St. Louis District) (2 volumes)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA USCG EPA AHP
state and local agencies
and citizen groups
(ELR ORDER # 51372)

East Moline, Flood Protection System 09/16

Illinois
The statement refers to the proposed East Moline, Illinois, Flood Protection System. The recommended plan consists of constructing two levees, with a total length of 27,500 ft. designed to protect 3,000 acres within the project study area against Mississippi and Rock River Design floods (200-year frequency). Additional structures, ramps, closures, ponding areas, and pumping areas will be provided. Adverse impacts are use of 20 acres for levees, local air, water and noise pollution during construction, and the secondary effects of replacement of agricultural land with urban land use as urbanization takes place.
(Rock Island District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOI DOC DOT USDA AHP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51391)

Blue River Basin Projects, Kansas and Missouri 09/08

Kansas Missouri
The statement refers to the construction, operation, and

maintenance of four authorized lakes; Wolf-Coffee, Indian, Tomahawk, and Mill, and the authorized channel modification in the Blue River basin. Adverse impacts consist of inundation of wildlife and stream fish habitat, loss of existing and potential residential lands, temporary noise, air, and water pollution, and the displacement of people from private lands.(Kansas City District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW HUD DOI DOT EPA AHP
state and local agencies
and environmental groups
(ELR ORDER # 51342)

Lake Barkely Disposal 09/08

Kentucky
County: Lyon
The proposed action is the sale of 124 acres of Federally owned land to the Lyon County Port Authority, for use in developing a public port and industrial facilities. The sale would result in restricted land use and the possibi - ity of industrial waste pollution of the Lake. (10 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA TVA DOC HEW DOI DOT USCG
one state agency
and National Audubon Society
(ELR ORDER # 51349)

Holland Harbor, Disposal Facilities 09/10

Michigan
The statement refers to the proposed construction of two diked disposal facilities at Holland Harbor, Michigan. The facilities will be used for containment of polluted dredge materials from maintenance of the shipping channel within Lake Macatawa. Adverse impacts are possible odors associated with dredge materials, and disturbances normally associated with construction-operation.
(Detroit District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI EPA DOC HEW
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51364)

Two Harbors Harbor, Operation and Maintenance 09/10

Minnesota
County: Lake
The statement refers to operation and maintenance activities within Two Harbors Harbor at Lake Superior. Proposed plans call for removal of approximately 6,000 cubic yards of dreding material to complete the project authorized in 1960. Drilling blasting, and removal of broken rock is anticipated to complete the dredging. Maintenance of the breakwater to replace rock torn away by Lake Superior storms is also expected. Adverse environmental impacts include: minor congestion; air water contamination; noise; increased turbidity; and, benthic damage.
(ST. PAUL DISTRICT)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC HEW HUD DOI USCG AHP
ONE STATE AGENCY AND US STEEL CORP.
(ELR ORDER # 51361)

Red Lake and Clearwater Rivers Project 09/10

Minnesota
County: several
The statement refers to the proposed continued operation and maintenance of the Red Lake and Clearwater Rivers. Project activities are designed to assure the effective use of the flood control and low-flow augmentation system by implementation of the Red Lake Dam and the Red Lakes reservoirs, and the modified channels along the Red Lake and Clearwater Rivers. Indian life in the area will be adversely affected by the loss of supplemental income due to the loss of trapping and guiding as income sources as well as the loss of food and hunting activity. (St. Paul District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA HEW HUD DOI DOT
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51367)

Okatibbee Dam and Lake, Operation and Maintenance 09/08

Mississippi
County: Lauderdale
The statement refers to the continuation of operation and maintenance of an existing multipurpose dam and reservoir located on Okatibbee Creek in Lauderdale County. The project provides flood control, water quality control, water supply, and recreation. The major adverse impact is that operation of the project creates an oxygen sag in Okatibbee Creek downstream from the dam. (Mobile District)
.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC USDA HUD DOT
(ELR ORDER # 51346)

New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway 09/04

New Jersey
The project involves the maintenance of the Manasquan, Barnegat, Absecon, and Cold Spring inlets, and the New Jersey Intracoastal Waterway. Periodic dredging is necessary for waterways to be used by pleasure craft, commercial, and sport fishing vessels. Adverse impacts of the action will include the disruption of marine biota. (Philadelphia District)
.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC EPA HUD DOI ARP
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51338)

Maintenance of Browns Creek, Long Island 09/04

New York
The statement concerns future maintenance dredging of the existing Federal navigation project in Browns Creek, Long Island, N.Y., to its authorized project dimensions. The work would be performed when necessary by contract dredge with spoiling on existing disposal areas. Adverse

effects include: removal of benthic organisms; increased turbidity; and depending upon the method used, either suspended and dissolved materials reintroduced into the waterway, disturbed shellfish beds, or turbidity in the surf zone. (New York District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP EPA DOI HEW USDA DOC USCG one state agency, Blue Point Oyster Co.
(ELR ORDER # 51333)

Black Rock Channel and Tonawanda Harbor 09/10

New York
County: Erie Niagara
The statement concerns the annual dredging of about 100,000 cubic yards of sediments, the operation and maintenance of Black Rock Ship Lock, and the maintenance of Rock Channel and walls. Adverse impacts from the project include temporary turbidity at the dredge site, temporary change in water color, disturbance of bottom habitat, some siltation in peripheral areas, and noise pollution during construction. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USCG DOT EPA
(ELR ORDER # 51363)

Sandusky Harbor Maintenance 09/10

Ohio
County: Erie
Proposed is the dredging of about 500,000 cubic yards of sediment annually which will be deposited in a designated area of Lake Erie, and the maintenance of existing breakwaters. Adverse impacts include resuspension of toxic chemical constituents during dredging and open lake disposal, the siltation of aquatic habitat, the disturbance of existing fish population, turbidity and change in water color, and generation of noise and dust during repair of the structures. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA USCG state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51365)

Fairport Harbor Maintenance 09/10

Ohio
County: Lake
The statement concerns the dredging of about 360,000 cubic yards of sediment annually and dumping the material in Lake Erie, and the maintenance of breakwaters and piers. The dredging will result in increased turbidity, disruption of aquatic habitat, open lake dumping, reduction of water quality, and hazard to water craft due to presence of dredge craft in the harbor. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOC DOI state and regional agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51368)

Conneaut Harbor O&M 09/10

Ohio
County: Ashtabula
The statement concerns the dredging of approximately 100,000 cubic yards of sediment annually, which will be deposited in a designated area of Lake Erie. Polluted dredged material removed during the routine maintenance of Conneaut Harbor will be deposited in the authorized open-lake dump zone until the proposed diked disposal facility at Ashtabula is operational. The dredging will resuspend toxic materials and disturb fish populations. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC EPA DOI HEW DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51369)

Lorain Harbor, Maintenance 09/10

Ohio
County: Lorain
The project includes the dredging of about 172,000 cubic yards of sediment annually to be deposited in designated areas of Lake Eire, and the maintenance of existing breakwaters. The resuspension of toxic chemical constituents during dredging and open lake disposal, siltation of aquatic habitat, disturbance of existing fish population, turbidity and change in water color, and the generation of noise and silt during repair of the structures will result from the project. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOC DOI USCG EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51370)

Big Creek and Metro Zoo Flood Protection 09/26

Ohio
County: Cuyahoga
The statement refers to the construction of channel works on 4,600' of the Creek, as well as 800' of levee. The purpose of the action is the reduction of flood potential at the Cleveland Zoological Park. The constructed works will produce an aesthetically unpleasant channel.
(Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW DOI DOT EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51446)

Cuyahoga River Sediment Removal 09/26

Ohio
County: Cuyahoga
The project involves the construction of two canoe launching ramps and docking facilities at two park sites on the river, and the opening of a five mile canoe trail.

increased congestion and an increase in litter are expected as results of the action. Approximately 1.4 acres of terrestrial habitat will be utilized for the construction of a spoil disposal site. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY:
(ELR ORDER # 51447)

Clarence J. Brown Dam and Reservoir 09/29

Ohio
County: Clark
Proposed is the completion of the remaining two percent of construction, operation, and maintenance activities at the dam, which is located on Buck Creek and the mainstem of the Mad River, in the Miami River Basin. The project will result in the creation of a 2,120 acre seasonal lake,for the purposes of floodcontrol, water quality, recreation, and fish and wildlife activities. Five miles of free flowing stream, 50 acres of flood plain forest, 2,000 acres of agricultural land, and the 340 acre Reid Memorial Park have been inundated.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI USDA DOT FPC EPA HUD AHO
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51455)

Columbia and Lower Willamette River, Maintenance 09/25

Oregon Washington
The statement refers to the maintenance dredging to 40 ft. deep of the Columbia River navigation channel from 2 miles seaward of its mouth to Columbia River mile 106.5 and Willamette River from mouth to Broadway Bridge to provide the depth required for deep-draft vessels. Included is maintenance of designated side channel projects, pile dikes and training dikes and initial rock removal projects. Adverse impacts include: disruption and removal of river bottom habitat and organisms; resuspension of bottom materials; temporary increases in turbidity; smothering of aquatic and terrestrial habitat and organisms during disposal. (Portland District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC HUD USDA FPC USCG AHP
state and regional agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51441)

Erie Harbor, Pennsylvania, Maintenance 09/10

Pennsylvania
County: Erie
The statement concerns the dredging of about 205,000 cubic yards of sediment annually and dumping the material in Lake Erie, and the maintenance of piers at the harbor entrance. Open-lake disposal will release chemical and biological constituents into the waters of the dredge and disposal sites causing a significantly adverse impact on water quality. The project will disrupt about 450 acres

of harbor benthic communities. (Buffalo District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USCG DOC DOI DOT EPA
one state agency
(ELR ORDER # 51371)

Trexler Lake, Supplement 09/12

Pennsylvania
County: Lehigh
The document supplements a final statement on the proposed Trexler Lake Project. The project involves the construction of an earth and rockfill embankment 130 feet high, with a crest length of 820 feet, on Jordan Creek. The lake, which is intended provides water supply, flood control, and recreational uses, would have a surface area of 1,220 acres, and would extend 8.6 miles upstream when filled to the top of the conservation pool. Fifty percent of Lowhill Township will be acquired for the project. (Philadelphia District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD EPA DOI USDA
local organizations
(ELR ORDER # 51381)

Double Bayou, Maintenance Dredging 09/04

Texas
County: Chambers
The statement refers to the maintenance dredging of Double Bayou in Chambers County, Texas. Maintenance will be accomplished by hydraulic pipeline dredge with dredged materials disposed of in open water and on land disposal areas. Adverse impacts include disturbance to swimming and bottom dwelling organisms, destruction of vegetation at disposal sites, degradation of water quality, and objectionable odors caused by proposed land disposal operations. (Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW EPA HUD DOC DOI DOT AHP
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51335)

Big Pine Lake, Red River Co. 09/22

Texas
County: Red River
The statement refers to the initial construction of Big Pine Lake on Big Pine Creek. The project is located 1 mile southeast of Kanawha. Action consists of construction of a lake project which consists of access roads, project buildings, earthen dam, gated concrete outlet works, uncontrolled spillway, downstream channel improvement, and public use facilities. Adverse impacts include the permanent inundation of 4,699 acres of land, minor losses of stream fishery in the reservoir area, and the losses of deer and upland game habitat. (Tulsa District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOT USDA FPC HEW
state agencies, one environmental group
(ELR ORDER # 51424)

Lake Brownwood Modification 09/22

Texas
The statement refers to the construction of a new embankment for Lake Brownwood and stablization of the existing spillway to preserve present values of the lake for flood control, water supply, recreation, and other related purposes. Adverse impacts include the elimination of 1,000 feet of stream and natural cover, loss of 83 acres of land, and approximately 1 mile of county road and 3,500 feet of power and telephone lines will be required to replace the 2,000 feet of existing lines. (Fort Worth District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA COE HEW HUD DOI DOT AHP FPC state and regional agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51425)

Clear Creek and Clear Lake 09/25

Texas
County: Chambers Galveston Harris
The statement refers to the maintenance dredging of Clear Creek and Clear Lake, Texas. Maintenance dredging will be performed by hydraulic pipeline dredge with dredged materials disposed of in open water disposal areas. Adverse impacts are increased turbidity, loss of marine life habitat, and objectionable odors due to the deposition of dredged materials. (Galveston District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC AHP HUD HEW DOT state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51434)

Aubrey Lake, Supplement 09/25

Texas
County: Cooke
The statement is a final supplement to a final EIS filed with CEQ March 4, 1974. The proposed action is the construction of Aubrey Lake for water supply, recreation, fish and wildlife. The project will require acquisition of approximately 42,000 acres and will inundate 90 miles of stream. Historical sites and archeological sites will be adversely affected, and families will be displaced. (Fort Worth District)
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOI EPA AHP state agencies and private groups
(ELR ORDER # 51442)

Everett Harbor and Snohomish River Navigation 09/25

Washington
County: Snohomish
The statement refers to the maintenance of a 15 foot deep navigation channel from Puget Sound one mile up the Snohomich River and an upper channel 8 feet deep and 4 1/2 miles long, two settling basins, and a deep draft harbor.

Project maintenance over the next 25 to 30 year period will cause conversion of 400 acres of lowlands, tidelands, and deltas to upland by filling with dredged spoil. Waterfowl habitat will be reduced. (Walla Walla District)

.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA HUD DOC DOI
state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51437)

Port Washington Navigation Project 09/10

Wisconsin

Proposed is the dredging of 10,000 cu.yds. annually from the Port Washington Harbor over the next ten years. Disposal of spoil will be in a diked area in the south outer section of Milwaukee Harbor. There will be adverse impact to aquatic biota; polluted sediments will be resuspended; toxic and nutritive substances will be reintroduced into the lake system. (Chicago District)

.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOI DOC HUD USCG
state and regional agencies
and private intersets

(ELR ORDER # 51362)

Kewaunee Harbor Maintenance, Wisconsin 09/10

Wisconsin

Proposed is the periodic maintenance dredging of the Kewaunee Harbor, and disposal of the spoil in a diked area. The disposal area, located adjacent to the lakeshore, would contain 500,000 cubic yards of sediment which will accrue over the 10-year project life. The project will adversely affect air and water quality, and the aquatic environment of the disposal area.

COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOC USDA DOI USCG
state and local agencies

(ELR ORDER # 51366)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE, Navy

Contact: Mr. Peter M. McDavitt
Special Assistant to the Assistant
Secretary of the Navy (Installations
and Logistics)
Washington D.C. 20350
(202) 692-3227

Draft — Date

NAS Miramar, Restrictive Use Easements — 09/19

California
The proposed program involves acquisition of restrictive use easements on 66 vacant parcels covering 1,660 acres as part of the (AICUZ) program at Naval Air Station Miramar, San Diego, California. Easements will prevent development of noise sensitive uses and impose occupancy density limitations on the properties. Land use in the area will be changed from residential and commercial uses to low density industrial uses. The growth rate of the project area will be slowed, some parcels remaining in open space uses over a considerable length of time due to lack of demand for property with restrictions imposed by easements.
(ELR ORDER # 51404)

Final — Date

Naval Personnel Admin. Complex, Belle Chasse — 09/23

Louisiana
County: Plaquemines
The project provides for the construction of a Naval Personnel Complex to house the major functions of the Buerau of Naval Personnel. The plan includes space for 2,050 civilian and military employees and 300 housing units. Adverse impacts include increased demand on city services such as schools and sewer systems, increased traffic in the area, and construction disruption.
(2 volumes)
COMMENTS MADE BY: HEW USDA DOT EPA DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51431)

ENERGY RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT ADMIN.

Contact: Mr. W. Herbert Pennington
Office of Assistant Administrator
E-201, ERDA
Washington, D.C. 20545
(301) 973-4241

Final — Date

Underground Nuclear Testing Program (Supplement) — 09/12

Nevada
The statement is a supplement to a final eis prepared to evaluate the underground nuclear test program for tests and preparations for tests of one megaton or less at the Nevada Test Site during Fiscal Year 1976. Impacts of the tests include subsidence craters and underground pockets of intense radioactivity onsite and the initiation of ground motion that may be felt offsite. There also remains the risk of substantial offsite release.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA DOI STAT DOT
states of Nevada and Oregon
(ELR ORDER # 51374)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers
Director, Office of Federal Activities
Room 3630 Waterside Mall
Washington D.C. 20460
(202) 755-0940

Draft — Date

N. Fremont Co. Wastewater Facilities — 09/08

Idaho
County: Fremont
Proposed is the awarding of grant funds to Fremont County, Idaho, for the design and construction of sewerage facilities serving the Island Park area. Provision of collection, interceptor, and treatment facilities would have the effect of accelerating recreational demand, with associated secondary effects such as increased noise, traffic, and recreational pressure.
(ELR ORDER # 51351)

Falling Creek Wastewater Treatment — 09/08

Virginia
County: Chesterfield
Proposed is the expansion of the 6 million gallon per day Falling Creek Wastewater Treatment facility to 12 MGD and upgrading to provide advanced wastewater treatment. The system is designed to gradually eliminate existing septic tanks. Potential long term adverse impacts of the action affecting surface water and groundwater quality, sensitive areas (wetlands, floodplains and aquifer recharge areas), agricultural lands, air quality, biology, and the community's social and economic environment are discussed. Short term construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51339)

Chambers Creek Sewerage System — 09/25

Washington
County: Pierce
The action considered is the awarding of grant funds to Pierce County for the construction of a sanitary sewer system to service the Clover Creek Basin Sewerage General Plan. Stage II will include additional communities. The construction of the main interseptor line down the Chambers Creek Canyon could constitute a major and potentially detrimental impact on the stability of that watercourse. There is also a potential for lowering the groundwater table due to the elimination of septic tank effluent as a source of recharge.
(ELR ORDER # 51444)

Final | Date

Aliso Water Management Agency Project | 09/03

California
County: Orange
Proposed is the implementation of a local or regional wastewater treatment and disposal system to elimintae various systems and prevent future problems. The applicant is considering two alternatives: a regional system with central treatment and ocean disposal of most wastes and a regional disposal system with local treatment. Construction disruption and occasional objectionable odors will result.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI EPA GSA
state and local agencies
private interest groups and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51329)

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Contact: Dr. Richard F. Hill
Acting Advisor on Environmental Quality
441 G Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20426
(202) 386-6084

Draft — Date

Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment — 09/02

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation. Environmental impacts resulting from the action are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed gas, the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Alternatives include unregulated curtailment and new sources of gas supplies. Reference is made to the fact that decontrol and rate structure are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51308)

Texas Gas Transmission Corp., Curtailment — 09/22

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Texas Gas Transmisssion Corporation. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51408)

Texas Eastern Transmisson Corp., Curtailment — 09/22

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51410)

Trunkline Gas Co. Curtailment — 09/22

The action consists of FPC's analysis of three permanent curtailment plans for the Trunkline Gas Company. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution

in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51411)

Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co., Curtailment 09/22

The action consists of FPC's analysis of one permanent curtailment plan for the Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51412)

United Gas Pipeline Co., Curtailment 09/22

The action consists of FPC's analysis of two permanent curtailment plans for the United Gas Pipeline system. Environmental impacts resulting from curtailment are the increased use of coal and oil to replace the curtailed natural gas and the associated cost increases, and increased pollution in the form of sulfur dioxide and particulates. Rate structure and deregulation are not included as alternatives to curtailment.
(ELR ORDER # 51413)

Holt, Bankhead, and Lewis Smith Projects, Alabama 09/02

Alabama
The action involved is the FPC's consideration of Alabama Power Company's contention that the turbine aerator devices installed in the draft tubes of Bankhead Project No. 2165 and Holt Project No. 2203 satisfies its obligation under Article 43 of the Holt license and aids in the improvement of the water quality of the Black Warrior River at Tuscaloosa, Alabama. The proposed action would increase the dissolved oxygen released in the waters discharged through the Bankhead powerhouse to the Holt reservoir and the waters discharged through the Holt powerhouse into the Oliver pool, thus providing enough dissolved oxygen for industrial development and which would result in associated pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51325)

Rocky Mt. Project, Georgia 09/12

Georgia
County: Floyd
Proposed is the issuance of a license to the Georgia Power Company for construction and operation of the proposed Rocky Mountain Pumped Storage Project No. 2725, to be located on Heath Creek. The project would consist of

two reservoirs, two auxiliary pools, a tunnel and underground penstocks, a semi-outdoor powerhouse which would also contain 3 reversible 225-MW pump turbine generating units, and 3 miles of 230 kV transmission line. Adverse impacts resulting from the project would be loss of existing recreational and scenic values, stream habitat, agricultural and timber producing lands, and the displacement of 48 people.
(ELR ORDER # 51383)

Final — Date

American Fork Creek Project No. 696 — 09/22

Utah
Proposed is the relicensing of Utah Power and Light Co.'s American Creek Project, No. 696. The project includes a concrete overflow type diversion dam with flash boards, one 950 kW generating station, transmission lines, and related works. The project has a visual impact upon the Timpanogos Cave National Monument.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP COE USDA HEW DOI DOT
state agencies and the applicant
(ELR ORDER # 51414)

Bath County Project, Virginia — 09/22

Virginia
County: Bath
The statement concerns consideration of an application for a licence to construct and operate a pumped storage project in Bath County, Virginia. Issuance of a licence would provide for establishment of two reservoirs, inundating about 950 acres, two transmission lines with a total length of 85 to 89 miles, and a powerhouse reaching the 350,000 kw rating for each of six units. The project would eliminate 3.3 miles of a free-flowing section of Back Creek and 1.5 miles of a free-flowing section of Little Back Creek.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI COE HEW EPA DOT USDA
state and local agencies
and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51415)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Richard H. Broun
Director, Office of Environmental
Quality
Room 7258
451 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20410
(202) 755-6308

Draft **Date**
09/29

Bathtub, Shower Fire Safety Requirements

The statement concerns the establishment of flame spread and smoke limitations for fiberglass reinforced plastic bathtub and shower units, as recommended by a HUD-convened committee of fire and plastics experts. The purpose of the regulations is to protect against personal injury and property loss by fire. The statement indicates no adverse impact on the environment.
(ELR ORDER # 51448)

Final **Date**

Wildwood Addition Mortgage Insurance, Decatur 09/08

Illinois
County: Macon
The statement concerns an application for mortgage insurance on 143.5 acres of agricultural land in the South Shores area of Decatur to be developed into 556 single-family homesites. The $60,000 houses will serve as security for the mortgages. The statement indicates no serious adverse environmental effects.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA AHP USDA HEW DOI DOT
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51345)

Government Square, Triangle Urban Renewal Projects 09/02

West Virginia
County: Kanawha
The 113-acre project, located in downtown Charleston, consists of construction of 400 new housing units, a 20-acre enclosed commercial complex, new government buildings, and preservation/rehabilitation of single-family housing units and existing government buildings. A number of families and businesses will be displaced.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOT USCG DOI DOC
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51321)

The following are Community Development Block Grant statements prepared and circulated directly by applicants pursuant to section 104(h) of the 1974 Housing and Community Development Act. Copies may be obtained from the office of the appropriate local chief executive. (Copies are not available from HUD).

Section 104(h)

Draft — Date

Vallejo Waterfront Redevelopment — 09/22

California
County: Solano
The statement concerns a plan to acquire, rezone, and develop two vacant parcels of land located adjacent to and north of Mare Island Shipyard. The 83 acre site will be used for a light industrial park of general land use specificity. Although no specific uses for the park are currently formulated, the project is expected to generate traffic and related pollution in the area.
(ELR ORDER # 51420)

Cleveland's Demolition Program — 09/03

Ohio
The statement concerns an application for a Community Development Block Grant by the City of Cleveland for demolition of condemned structures. Because these structures are vacant, there will be no displacements of families. Noise pollution and construction disruption will result.
(ELR ORDER # 51332)

Rodeo Lake Surface Drainage, Othello — 09/02

Washington
County: Adams
The proposed action is the drainage of a seepage lake (Rodeo Lake) for the purpose of eliminating flooding of septic tanks and surface water conditions on residential suburban area adjacent to Othello City. The project will result in loss of the seepage lake, loss of fish population and reduction of wildlife population.
(ELR ORDER # 51313)

Sand Point Park, Seattle — 09/29

Washington
County: King
The statement concerns the acquisition, development, and

use of Navy excessed property at Sand Point as a regional park. A network of vehicular and pedestrian roads, on-site parking and improved utilities are also included in the proposed action. Adverse impacts include increased air pollution from automobiles and construction disruption. (ELR ORDER # 51461)

Final — Date

Pascack Brook Improvement Project — 09/08

New York
County: Rockland
Proposed is a project for flood alleviation including channelization of portions of the Brook, replacement of structures incapable of withstanding a 100-year return period flood, and the acquisition of flood easements along sparsely settled reaches of the Brook. Adverse impacts include the alteration and containment of the natural meandering of a stream and general construction disruption.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA
one state and one local agency
(ELR ORDER # 51348)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard
Director, Environmental Project Review
Room 7260
Department of the Interior
Washington, D.C. 20240
(202) 343-3891

Bureau of Land Management

Draft — Date

Coal Mining Regulations — 09/16

Proposed are new BLM coal leasing, permitting and licensing regulations and revised GS coal exploration, mining operating, and reclamation regulations as they apply to all aspects of coal operations on public and acquired lands. Regulations and revision are included to: expand Federal scope of authority to private surface over federally owned minerals; require submission of detailed exploration and/or mining plans prior to operations; and require reclamation as an integral part of mining operations. The more stringent environmental standards may result in slightly increased expenses to operators and consumers and the closing of some small mines.
(ELR ORDER # 51399)

Final — Date

Proposed Coal Leasing Program — 09/19

The statement refers to a program resuming nationwide coal leasing by the Bureau of Land Management, utilizing the Energy Mineral Allocation Recommendation System. The program primarily involves 85 million acres of identified coal reserves in the Northern Great Plains, and northward along the continental divide from New Mexico and Arizona through Montana. Extraction of the coal would create a wide range of social, economic, and environmental impacts.
.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA AEC EPA CEQ DOI TVA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51403)

Bureau of Outdoor Recreation

Draft — Date

Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail — 09/02

The statement concerns the legislative inclusion of the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail in the National Trails System. The proposed action on the 3,700 mile route would require easement on 172 acres, resulting in

restricted timber harvesting practices, and the development of recreation facilities along 21 components to accomodate increased use.
(ELR ORDER # 51309)

Bruneau Wild and Scenic Rier 09/16

Idaho
The statement concerns a study recommending the inclusion of 121 miles of the Bruneau and its Sheep Creek and Jarbidge tributaries in the National Wild and Scenic River System. Boundaries would extend from canyon rim to canyon rim along legal subdivisions and encompass approximately 57,000 acres. Existing uses of the area, chiefly livestock grazing, would continue. Commercial and residential uses that might otherwise occur would be curtailed.
(ELR ORDER # 51395)

New River Gorge, Nat'l Wild and Scenic Rivers 09/29

West Virginia
County: Fayette Summers Raleigh
Proposed is the legislative designation of the New River Gorge in West Virginia as part of the National Wild and Scenic Rivers System under overall management of the National Park Service. Inclusion in the System will provide protection of existing scenic, recreational, historic, fish and wildlife, and water quality values of the river. No significant adverse effects are anticipated on ecological systems.
(ELR ORDER # 51450)

Bonneville Power Administration

Draft Date

Hot Springs-Bell 500-kV line 09/16

Washington Idaho Montana
Proposed is the construction of between 146 and 165 miles of 500-kV transmission line between Hot Springs, Montana, and Bell Substation, immediately north of Spokane, Washington. Right-of-way for the line and 15 to 70 miles of new access road would be required. The project would also require the clearing of 2,153 to 2,503 acres of land and the removal of 3 to 4 acres of farm land. Wildlife habitat would be disturbed.
(ELR ORDER # 51396)

Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife

Final Date

Desert Wilderness Area 09/04

Nevada
County: Clark Lincoln
The statement proposes that approximately 1,322,900 acres of the Desert National Wildlife Range be designated wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. It is also recommended that 76,000 acres of adjoining public domain lands be designated as wilderness when they become a part of the Desert National Wildlife Range. Designation of wilderness will limit visitor and resource and commercial growth.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC DOD AEC DOI
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51336)

National Park Service

Final Date

Rehabilitation of the National Mall 09/10

District of Co
The proposed rehabilitation program for the National Mall in Washington, D.C. would include replacement of streets for automobiles with pedestrian walks, replacement of park furniture, and construction of various other pedestrian-centered facilities. Fringe parking and shuttle busses would be provided at RFK Stadium. The project would result in fewer facilities for automobiles on the Mall and temporary construction disruption.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOI NCPC 2
state and metropolitan agencies
D.C. agencies and elected officials
(ELR ORDER # 51356)

Proposed Master Plan, Hawaii Volcanoes N.P. 09/04

Hawaii
The statement refers to the proposed master plan for the Hawaii Volcanoes National Park. The plan is intended to conserve and protect the unique resources of the Park for expanded public use and continued volcanic research by the U.S. Geological Survey. Direct impact of the plan will result primarily from the construction of new roads and campgrounds. (180 pages)
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USDA COE DOI DOT EPA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51337)

City of Refuge National Historical Park 09/29

Hawaii
The master plan for City of Refuge National Historical Park, Hawaii, outlines a program that will preserve and

interpret the remains of the Hawaii puuhonua (place of refuge) and its associated historic and natural values as an important center of ancient Hawaiian culture. The project will require extension of existing boundaries to include about 204 acres of land and 112 acres of water, which will result in the displacement of 8 families.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOI
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51452)

Grand Teton National Park, Master Plan 09/25
Wyoming
The proposed master plan for the Grand Teton National Park will attempt to increase public enjoyment of park experiences with reduced impact on park resources. Some adverse effects are the reduction in the immediate local economy, high costs for pollution abatement and facility obliteration, and shifting of recreational uses to areas outside the park.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA 2DOI 2EPA 2
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51435)

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. Richard Chais
Supervisory Attorney Advisor for
the Environmental Staff
Room 2370
Washington, D.C. 20423
(202) 343-2086

Draft | Date

C&NW Transportation Co., Docket AB1 (Sub.-No. 9) | 09/30

Iowa South Dakota
The Chicago and North Western Transportation Company proposes to abandon and completely dismantle its branch line extending 155.7 miles between Wren, Iowa and Iroquois, South Dakota. The diversion of traffic from rail to motor carrier although low, would result in an increased burden on the environment with respect to energy consumption and emittants. Noise and safety hazards associated with motor carrier movement would be intensified.
(ELR ORDER # 51462)

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Contact: Mr. A. Giambusso, Director of Division
of Reactor Licensing
P-722, NRC
Washington, D.C. 20555
(301) 492-7373

Final — Date

Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Sta., Units 1-3 — 09/29

Arizona
County: Maricopa
Proposed is the issuance of construction permits to the Arizona Public Service Corporation for the construction of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3. The station will produce up to 3817 MWt and 1270 MWe (net) of electrical power capacity. The exhaust steam will be cooled by mechanical-draft cooling towers with makeup water obtained from piped sewage effluent from Pheonix. The construction will require the clearing of up to 2500 acres of land.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP ISDA DOC EPA HEW DOT COE FPC DOI
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51454)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director
Office of Environmental Affairs
400 7th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20590
(202) 426-4357

Federal Aviation Administration

Draft — Date

New Kent Co. Airport, Quinton, Virginia — 09/22

Virginia
County: New Kent
The statement concerns the acquisition of the existing 126.7 acre privately-owned New Kent Airport and an additional 18.4 acres fee simple and 10 acres in aviation easement. The project also includes relocation of State Routes 612 and 676, and removal of existing tee hangars, lighting and marking, runway and taxiway, and installation of VASI II and REILS. Adverse impacts of the project include an increase in noise levels, the clearing of 20 acres of woods, an increase in pollution levels, and a lengthening of Route 612.
(ELR ORDER # 51428)

Final — Date

New Orleans Lakefront Airport — 09/02

Louisiana
Proposed is the development of a Master Plan for the existing New Orleans Lakefront Airport. The Master Plan will determine the extent, type and nature of needed development based on forecast short, intermediate, and long range aeronautical needs. Installation of approach lights and an ILS Glide Slope Indicator for the present north-south runway is planned prior to implementation of Phase 1 of the Master Plan.
COMMENTS MADE BY: COE DOC DOI EPA DOT
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51311)

Detroit-Wayne Co. Airport, Runway (Supplement) — 09/22

Michigan
County: Wayne
The statement is to supplement an eis filed with CEQ 10 April 1974. The specific issues addressed consist of those in the opinion of the US District Court, Eastern District of Michigan, Southern Division. Data is included to rectify the distortion or omission of information in the statement which the court found misleading.
COMMENTS MADE BY: HUD USDA COE DOI DOT EPA

state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51409)

Federal Highway Administration

Draft — Date

Florida Street Extension, Mobile — 09/02

Alabama
County: Mobile
Proposed is the construction of an extension of Florida Street from Government Boulevard to Springhill Avenue in Mobile, Alabama. The project length ranges from 2.2 to 2.4 miles, depending upon the alternative selected. The most significant negative impact of the project is the potential dislocation of approximately 19 families, 13 businesses, and 2 non-profit organizations. Temporary construction disruption would result.
(ELR ORDER # 51320)

SR 21, (Blanding Blvd.), Clay Co. — 09/02

Florida
County: Clay
The statement concerns the upgrading of Blanding Boulevard (State Road 21) to a divided, four-lane facility from the Intersection with SR 215 to Robin Road. The improvement will provide for increased traffic generated by numerous residential communities now planned from "Jacksonville South" to Orange Park. Adverse impacts include the displacement of families and businesses and noise level impacts on Middleburg Elementary School.
(ELR ORDER # 51322)

John C. Calhoun Expressway Extension — 09/02

Georgia
County: Richmond
The proposed highway extension begins at the present terminus of the John C. Calhoun Expressway at 15th Street and extends to either Greene or Telfair Streets, a distance of approximately 0.8 mile. Between 25 and 50 persons and 14 and 16 businesses will be displaced. There will be a loss of hardwoods in the corridor, and commercialization will increase.
(ELR ORDER # 51310)

US 301, Screven Co. — 09/08

Georgia
County: Screven
Proposed is the upgrading to four lanes of the existing 14.3 mile two-lane section of US Highway 301 (Ga 73)

from the northern terminus of the Sylvania Bypass northward to the existing four-lane section of US 301 just south of the Savannah River. The construction of the facility will result in noise and air pollution and will have an adverse effect upon forest land nearby. Due to the present non-availability of replacement housing in Screven County, the relocation of individuals associated with three alternate routes will be a major problem.
(ELR ORDER # 51343)

Hilo Bayfront Highway, FAP 19 09/16

Hawaii
The statement concerns the construction of a 1.3 mile segment of the 4-lane, divided Hilo Bayfront Highway (FAP 19) from west of the Wailoa River to the junction of Kalanianaole Avenue and Silva Street. One family and an unspecified number of businesses will be displaced. A 4(f) statement is included concerning Hoolulu Park, Banyon Drive Golf Course, and the Hilo Bay Open Area.
(ELR ORDER # 51397)

St. Joe River Road (Forest Route 50) 09/22

Idaho
County: Benewah Shoshone
The proposed improvement entails the reconstruction, on essentially the existing alignment, of Idaho Forest Highway Route 50 between Calder and Avery, Idaho, a distance of approximately 23 miles. Approximately 400 acres of land will be required for right-of-way, and of this acreage, 70 acres will be permanently committed to be used for highway pavement.
(ELR ORDER # 51419)

I-15W Raft River-Rockland Jct. 09/29

Idaho
County: Cassia Power
The proposed project is the upgrading to 4 lanes of I-15W from the Raft River Interchange in Cassia County to the Rockland Junction about 2 miles southwest of American Falls in Power County. Total length of the project is 21 miles. Construction would require the displacement of two residences and two utility pipelines. Encroachment into the backwaters of Lake Walcott is possible at two locations along the existing route, and large concrete walls, which would have adverse aesthetic effects, could be constructed. A great amount of cut and fill would be required.
(ELR ORDER # 51459)

I-255, St. Louis 09/22

Illinois
County: Monroe St. Clair Madison
Proposed is the construction on new alignment of Interstate 255 from an interchange with FAP Route 410 north of Columbia to an interchange with Route 55 west of Collinsville, a distance of approximately 18 miles. The statement also covers the relocation of the weigh station on I-55. Approximately 800 persons will be relocated and 22 businesses displaced. In addition, farms will be severed and approximately 800 acres of agricultural land will be acquired. Other adverse effects expected include increased highway generated noise levels in several locations. A 4(f) statement is included concerning Frank Holten State Park.
(ELR ORDER # 51422)

State Primary Route 146 09/29

Massachusetts
County: Worcester
The proposed action is the dualization of State Primary Route 146 from Hartford Turnpike in the Town of Sutton to Mill Street in the Town Of Uxbridge and the relocation of Route 146 from Mill Street to the Rhode Island State Line. The improvement is about 13.5 miles in length and will consist of four lanes divided by a median. The project will result in the displacement of 13 families and 2 businesses.
(ELR ORDER # 51456)

Route 36, St. Joseph and Missouri River 09/22

Missouri Kansas
The action proposes the acquisition of limited access right-of-way from the Missouri River to 17th Street in St. Joseph, grading, multi-lane paving, interchanges, and a six lane bridge over the Missouri River from a point in Buchannan County, Kansas to 17th Street in St. Joseph. Total project length is 1.5 miles. Adverse impacts include the relocation of 264 people and 22 businesses, and the reassignment of approximately 30 acres of industrial, commercial and residential land to a transportation corridor.
(ELR ORDER # 51417)

Nebraska Hwy 133 (90th St.), Omaha 09/03

Nebraska
County: Douglas
The project consists of the improvement of Nabraska Highway 133 (90th Street) from Burt Street to Maple, a distance of 3.2 miles, and a second segment from Maple to the junction of N-133 and N-38, a distance of 1.9 miles. The project will displace three residences, and will require the acquisition of 6 acres of land.

(ELR ORDER # 51331)

Omaha North Freeway 09/30

Nebraska
County: Douglas
The statement concerns the completion of the North Freeway in Omaha from Lake Street to Interstate 680 and an Airport Connector to serve Eppley Airport. The road would be lighted and would be six lanes and four lanes in sections. Length of the freeway project would be approximately five miles and the Airport Connector could vary between approximately one to two and a half miles. Adverse impacts of the project include a large number of displacements of families and businesses and possible use of city park land in the 179 to 217 acres needed for the right-of-way.
(ELR ORDER # 51464)

US 95 West Leg, Las Vegas 09/02

Nevada
County: Clark
The statement concerns the construction of the west leg of US 95 expressway from Rancho Drive West to Rainbow Boulevard and North to the Tonopah Highway in the City of Las Vegas and unincorporated areas of Clark County. Total length of the project is 9.55 miles. It will initially be constructed as a 4-lane expressway with provision for 6 lanes. Approximately 25 families will be displaced. The project may also encourage urban growth in areas lacking suitable infrastructure.
(ELR ORDER # 51318)

US 1133 (University Avenue), Las Cruces 09/12

New Mexico
County: Dona Ana
The proposed action is the widening to 6 lanes of a section of Federal Aid Secondary Route 1133 (NM 101) on University Avenue between I-10 and I-25 in Las Cruces, New Mexico. The length of the project is approximately 1.5 miles. The project will result in increased noise impact, an unspecified number of relocations, loss of trees, and air pollution during construction.
(ELR ORDER # 51376)

Seventeenth St. Extension, Altoona 09/12

Pennsylvania
County: Blair
The statement concerns the relocation of Seventeenth Street to provide a 4-lane free access facility approximately one mile in length to serve as an artery into the downtown area from existing US Route 220. The project will displace

between 57 and 100 families, depending upon the alternate selected, and will subject another 13 to 33 residences to interior noise levels.
(ELR ORDER # 51378)

LR 1074, Spur P, Section A00 09/25

Pennsylvania
County: Allegheny
Proposed is the construction of a two mile segment of Legislative Route 1074, Spur P, Section A00 from the Rankin Bridge to a connection with the new Braddock Avenue Viaduct. Access to the four-lane expressway will be provided at selected, at-grade signalized intersections. Alternative A would require the relocation of 50 families and 11 businesses. Traffic on the roadway would cause significant noise pollution.
(ELR ORDER # 51443)

Beltway 8, US 59 North to I.H. 10 East 09/12

Texas
County: Harris
The statement concerns the development of a 17.8 mile increment into a fully controlled access facility as part of Beltway 8, a circumferential route encircling Houston. Included in the statement is a 2 mile segment of I.H. 10 which crosses this portion of Beltway 8. The project will permit the continuance of existing trends in urban development. There will be an increase in noise and air pollution levels, and the roadway will displace the Faith Tabernacle United Pentacostal Church.
(ELR ORDER # 51375)

Loop 151, Texarkana 09/29

Texas
County: Bowie
The proposed action is the construction on new location of a four-lane divided facility with depressed median and one-way continuous frontage roads over approximately 3.6 miles, from US 82 in Texarkana south to US 59 in Bowie County, Texas. Adverse effects include the displacement of families and businesses, already partially completed, and the commitment of some natural resources.
(ELR ORDER # 51453)

Final Date

Alabama Route 59, Baldwin County 09/16

Alabama
County: Baldwin
The statement refers to the construction of Alabama

Route 59 for approximately 21 miles from south of Foley to I-10 north of Loxley. The acquisition of farmland for highway right-of-way and economic effects to businesses and trade in the 4 towns that will be bypassed by the proposal are adverse effects of the project.
.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51393)

Lake Otis Parkway, Anchorage 09/22

Alaska
Proposed is the improvement of a one-mile segment of the Lake Otis Parkway from the intersection with Tudor Road to the intersection with Northern Lights Boulevard. The project will provide a four-lane urban roadway with a median turn lane, drainage, pedestrian overpass and sidewalks modified for bicycle use. Adverse impacts of the project include an overall increase in noise levels, air pollution, commercial activity and traffic in the area, and disruption of vegetation.
COMMENTS MADE BY: state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51423) and concerned citizens

East Belt Freeway, Little Rock 09/02

Arkansas
County: Pulaski
The statement concerns the construction of a multi-lane, interstate-type facility connecting I-40 and I-30, a distance of 10 miles, through eastern Little Rock and eastern North Little Rock. An undetermined number of businesses and families will be displaced and the project will also alter 600 to 800 acres of wetlands, residential, agricultural, commerical and industrial lands to highway use.
COMMENTS MADE BY: AHP USCG COE DOI HEW USDA DOT
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51315)

Rte 87, Guadalupe Parkway, San Jose 09/02

California
County: Santa Clara
The proposed project is the improvement of a 4-lane freeway on Route 87 for a distance of 1.5 miles in the city of San Jose. The facility will require 59.3 acres and displace 237 people and 30 businesses. A crossing over the Guadalupe River will increase erosion and siltation. Loss of wildlife and substantial increases in air and noise pollution levels will occur.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA DOT DOI COE
state and local agencies
and concerned citizens
(ELR ORDER # 51324)

I-70, DeBeque-Grand Valley 09/29

Colorado
County: Mesa Garfield
The project involves the construction of a 37 mile segment of I-70 located in both Garfield and Mesa Counties in west central Colorado. Adverse impacts include acquisition of 820 acres of land for right-of-way, temporary degradation of water quality, increased dust, noise and congestion during construction, and the displacement of eighteen families and nine businesses.
.
COMMENTS MADE BY: EPA USDA HEW DOI DOT
state agencies and individuals
(ELR ORDER # 51460)

Georgia S.R. 365 09/08

Georgia
County: Hall Harbersham
The statement refers to the proposed extension of S.R. 365 from the present terminus at Gainesville to a point west and north of Cornelia. The 22-mile extension will be on new location. Erosion and siltation may cause damage to fish spawning waters in the Chattahoochee River and Lake Sidney Lanier. Eighteen families and one business will be displaced. An unspecified amount of land will be acquired to provide a 300 foot right-of-way.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA DOT HUD DOI EPA
(ELR ORDER # 51344)

US-31, Michigan 09/12

Michigan
County: Mason
Proposed is the construction of US-31 from the Oceana-Mason County line north to US-31/US-10, Summit, Pere Marquette and Auber Townships. Total project length is approximately 11 miles. Adverse impacts stemming from the project are loss of wildlife habitat and woodland; displacement of families, residential dwellings and other structures; loss of agricultural land; increased noise and water pollution levels; and erosion and sedimentation.

COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI COE USDA EPA USCG
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51382)

Route 55 Freeway 09/08

New Jersey
County: Gloucester
The statement refers to the proposed construction of a 4

lane, limited access freeway. There are 5 alternate actions being considered, one of which (Line R2) would traverse the Glassboro Fish and Wildlife Management Area. Two other routes would affect farm land. Traffic would be diverted from established businesses and communities along Route 47.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOT DOI EPA USDA
(ELR ORDER # 51354)

I-84, Hudson River Crossing 09/02

New York
County: Orange Dutchess
The project involves the expansion of an existing crossing of the Hudson River in Orange and Dutchess Counties. The total length of the project is 2.0 miles. Adverse impacts are increased air and noise pollution, loss of some river bottom, displacement of 1 family, and short-termed degradation of water quality.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA HEW DOI USCG DOT DOC FPC EPA AHP
(ELR ORDER # 51323)

Interstate 5, Divide-Anlauf Section 09/02

Oregon
County: Douglas Lane
The project is to upgrade a 7 mile section of Interstate 5; the interchanges at Anlaug and Divide will be reconstructed, and a new system of frontage roads will be constructed. Adverse impact will include the loss of some wildlife habitat as right-of-way, and the displacement of a number of families.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USCG DOI EPA USDA
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51317)

U.S. 101, Elk River - Port Orford Section 09/22

Oregon
County: Curry
The statement refers to the proposed construction of the Elk River - Port Orford Section of U.S. 101 in Curry County, Oregon. The proposed project consists of a two-mile segment of highway on new location. Adverse impacts will result principally from construction activity and will particularly concern aquatic resources.
COMMENTS MADE BY: USDA COE DOC DOI USCG EPA
state and local agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51426)

I 664 - Hampton Roads 09/03

Virginia
County: several

The statement considers alternate corridors for the construction of proposed I 665, a bridge tunnel water crossing of Hampton Roads. The project is proposed to connect the cities of Hampton and Newport News on the north of Hampton Roads Harbor with the Cities of Portsmouth, Norfolk and Nansemond on the southern side of Hampton Roads. The project, a limited access divided highway, will be 13.9 miles long, 6 lanes wide north and south of Hampton Roads, and 4 lanes wide across Hampton Roads. Encroachment upon 4(f) land displacement of families and businesses, and increased air, noise, and water pollution are adverse effects of the action.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOC EPA USDA USCG HUD HEW
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51330)

Route 58 09/26

Virginia
County: Mecklenburg
The proposed project is the construction of a four-lane divided facility along the existing highway corridor of Route 58. Project length is 19.4 miles. Acquisition of right-of-way will result in the displacement of 35 families, 13businesses and 4 non-profit organizations. Section 4(f) reviews for the loss of 24 acres of land from the Occoneeche State Park and 8.73 acres from Rudels Creek Public Use Area are included.
COMMENTS MADE BY: DOI HUD COE USCG
state agencies
(ELR ORDER # 51445)

U.S.Coast Guard

Draft Date

LORAN-C, Gulf of Alaska 09/08

The proposed action provides for the establishment of a new LORAN-C radio-navigation chain along the US West Coast and in the Gulf of Alaska. Stations will be located in Nevada, California, Washington, Alaska, and Southwestern Canada. There will be minimal adverse impact on local water supplies, sanitation system , and electric utilities. There will be some visual impact at all stations due to the height of the towers.
(ELR ORDER # 51350)

Summary of 102 Statements Filed with the CEQ Through 9/30/75 (By Agency)

Agency	Draft 102's for actions on which no final 102's have yet been received	Final 102's on legislation and actions	Total actions on which draft or final statements have been received
Agriculture, Department of	197	563	760
Appalachian Regional Commission	1	0	1
Architect of the Capitol	0	1	1
Atomic Energy Commission	42	144	186
Canal Zone Government	0	1	1
Civil Aeronautics Board	0	1	1
Commerce, Department of	20	39	59
Defense, Department of	8	7	15
Air Force	11	18	29
Army	3	20	23
Army Corps of Engineers	608	814	1422
Navy	14	31	45
Delaware River Basin Commission	2	8	10
Energy Policy Office	0	1	1
Energy Resources Development Adm.	3	2	5
Environmental Protection Agency	21	66	87
Federal Energy Administration	3	1	4
Federal Maritime Commission	2	0	2
Federal Power Commission	97	38	135
General Services Administration	22	85	107
HEW, Department of	5	13	18
HUD, Department of	46	115	161
Interior, Department of	176	298	474
International Boundary and Water C Commission -- U.S. and Mexico	1	8	9
Interstate Commerce Commission	9	5	14
Justice, Department of	2	3	5
Labor, Department of	4	2	6
National Aeronautics and Space Adm.	9	22	31
National Capital Planning Comm.	5	8	13
National Science Foundation	2	5	7
New England River Basins Commission	2	0	2
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	0	11	11
Office of Science and Technology	0	1	1
Pacific Northwest River Basins Comm.	3	0	3
Pennsylvania Ave. Devel. Corp.	0	1	1
Souris-Red-Rainy River Basins Comm.	1	0	1
State Department	3	5	8
Tennessee Valley Authority	7	24	31
Transportation, Department of	988	2002	2990
Treasury, Department of	4	7	11
Upper Mississippi River Basin Comm.	1	0	1
U.S. Postal Service	3	0	3
U.S. Water Resources Council	6	9	15
Veterans Administration	3	6	9
TOTALS	2334	4385	6719

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

AVAILABILITY OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY COMMENTS ON ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS AND OTHER ACTIONS IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT

OFFICE OF THE ADMINISTRATOR

Pursuant to the requirements of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has reviewed and commented in writing on Federal agency actions impacting the environment contained in the following appendicies during the period of September 1, 1975 and September 30, 1975.

Appendix I contains a listing of draft environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The list includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, the classification of the nature of EPA's comments as defined in Appendix II, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix II contains the definitions of the classifications of EPA's comments on the draft environmental impact statements as set forth in Appendix I.

Appendix III contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed and commented upon in writing during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the EPA source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix IV contains a listing of final environmental impact statements reviewed but not commented upon by EPA during this review period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the statement, the number and title of the statement, and the source of the EPA review as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix V contains a listing of proposed Federal agency regulations, legislation proposed by Federal agencies, and any other proposed actions reviewed and commented upon in writing pursuant to section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended, during the referenced reviewing period. The listing includes the Federal agency responsible for the proposed action, the title of the action, a summary of the nature of EPA's comments, and the source for copies of the comments as set forth in Appendix VI.

Appendix VI contains a listing of the names and addresses of the sources of EPA reviews and comments listed in Appendices I, III, IV and V.

Copies of the EPA Manual setting forth the policies and procedures for EPA's review of agency actions may be obtained by writing the Public Information Reference Unit, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 2922, Waterside Mall SW, Washington, DC 20460, telephone AC 202/755-2808. Copies of the draft and final environmental impact statements referenced herein are available from the originating Federal department or agency.

Peter L. Cook for
Sheldon Meyers
Director
Office of Federal Activities

Dated: October 22, 1975

APPENDIX I

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
SEPTEMBER 1, 1975 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
DS-AFS-A42402-AZ:	CLARKSDALE-WILLIAMS HIGHWAY PROPOSAL, ARIZONA	LO-1	J
D-AFS-G65009-AR:	OZONE UNIT PLAN, OZARK AND ST. FRANCIS NATIONAL FOREST, JOHNSON COUNTY, ARKANSAS	LO-1	G
D-AFS-J65016-MT:	MASTER PLAN, BIG MOUNTAIN SKI RESORT, FLATHEAD NATIONAL FOREST, MONTANA	ER-2	I
D-AFS-L61038-WA:	RIVER CLASSIFICATION OF THE SKAGIT RIVER UNDER THE WILD AND SCENIC RIVERS ACT, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K
D-AFS-L61040-ID:	PROPOSED LAND USE PLAN, MIDDLE FORK BOISE RIVER PLANNING UNIT, BOISE NATIONAL FOREST, IDAHO	LO-2	K
D-AFS-L61043-ID:	LAND USE PLAN, SMITH CREEK PLANNING UNIT, KANIKSU NATIONAL FOREST, BOUNDARY COUNTY, IDAHO	LO-1	K
D-REA-G05002-LA:	BIG CAJON NO. 2, POINT COUPEE PARISH, LOUISIANA	ER-2	G
D-SCS-E36028-NC:	BEAR SWAMP WATERSHED, CHOWAN AND PERQUIMANS COUNTIES, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-1	E
D-SCS-E36029-KY:	BIG MUDDY CREEK WATERSHED, BUTLER AND LOGAN COUNTIES, KENTUCKY	LO-2	E
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
D-NOA-A64042-00:	CONSIDERATION OF WAIVER OF THE MORITORIUM ON THE IMPORTATION OF SOUTH AFRICAN SEALSKINS	LO-2	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
DS-COE-A32488-00:	LOCK AND DAM NO. 26, MISSISSIPPI RIVER, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS	ER-2	H
DS-COE-A35097-OH:	SMALL BOAT HARBOR OF REFUGE, FAIRPORT HARBOR, LAKE COUNTY, OHIO	LO-2	F
D-COE-C07001-VI:	PROPOSAL TO CONSTRUCT A 200,000 BPCD REFINERY AND MARINE PLATFORM TERMINAL, VIRGIN ISLANDS REFINERY CORPORATION, ST. CROIX, VIRGIN ISLANDS	3	C
D-COE-C85004-NJ:	STOECO HOMES, INC., RESIDENTIAL LAGOON DEVELOPMENT, CAPE MAY COUNTY, OCEAN CITY, NEW JERSEY	ER-2	C
DS-COE-D36004-VA:	FLOOD PROTECTION MEASURES AT RICHMOND, VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
D-COE-E02001-AL:	PERMIT APPLICATION, MOBILE OIL CORPORATION EXPLORATORY OIL WELL, MOBILE BAY, ALABAMA	LO-1	E
D-COE-E02002-AL:	DREDGING PERMIT APPLICATION, CHEVRON OIL COMPANY BALDWIN COUNTY, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E32009-GA:	BRUNSWICK HARBOR IMPROVEMENT, GLYNN COUNTY, GEORGIA	ER-2	E
D-COE-E34002-00:	LAKE SEMINOLE AND JIM WOODRUFF LOCK AND DAM, O&M ALABAMA, FLORIDA AND GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E35010-GA:	PERMIT TO AUTHORIZE MAINTENANCE DREDGING, KINGS BAY MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL, CUMBERLAND SOUND, CAMDEN COUNTY, GEORGIA	ER-2	E
D-COE-E35011-00:	TENNESSEE RIVER AND TRIBUTARIES, OPEN CHANNEL MAINTENANCE, KENTUCKY, TENNESSEE, MISSISSIPPI, ALABAMA AND GEORGIA	LO-2	E
D-COE-E35012-00:	CUMBERLAND RIVER, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AND MANAGEMENT OF WATER RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT MILE 0.0 THRU 381, TENNESSEE AND KENTUCKY	LO-2	E

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-E36026-KY:	KENTUCKY RIVER BASIN, SMALL FLOOD CONTROL, NICHOLASVILLE, JESSAMINE COUNTY, KENTUCKY	ER-2	E
D-COE-E36027-MS:	GORDONS CREEK, FLOOD CONTROL, FORREST COUNTY, HATTIESBURG, MISSISSIPPI	LO-2	E
D-COE-E60001-TN:	CORDELL HULL DAM AND RESERVOIR, DISPOSAL, CUMERLAND RIVER, JACKSON COUNTY, TENNESSEE	LO-2	E
D-COE-F32023-00:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE OF POOLS 24, 25, AND 26, MISSISSIPPI AND ILLINOIS RIVERS, MISSOURI AND ILLINOIS	ER-2	F
D-COE-F34005-IN:	BIG WALNUT LAKE, BIG WALNUT CREEK, WABASH RIVER BASIN, PUTNAM COUNTY, INDIANA	ER-3	F
* D-COE-F36023-MN:	WINONA FLOOD CONTROL PROJECT AND WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT, MINNESOTA	EU-2	F
D-COE-G32020-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, SABIN-NECHES WATERWAY, TEXAS	ER-1	G
D-COE-G34014-00:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE PROGRAM, DENISON DAM, LAKE TEXOMA, RED RIVER, BRYAN COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-1	G
D-COE-G34015-TX:	MAINTENANCE DREDGING, GALVESTON HARBOR AND CHANNEL, TEXAS	ER-1	G
D-COE-G36028-OK:	ALBANY LAKE, ISLAND BAYOU, OKLAHOMA	ER-2	G
D-COE-H07000-MO:	IATAN STEAM-ELECTRIC PLANT, PLATTE COUNTY, MISSOURI	LO-2	H
D-COE-H34011-KS:	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, POMONA LAKE, KANSAS	LO-1	H
D-COE-H36018-MO:	STRATE BRANCH, MARION COUNTY DRAINAGE DISTRICT, MISSOURI	LO-2	H
D-COE-K32003-AS:	PAGO PAGO HARBOR, TUTNILA ISLAND, AMERICAN SAMOA	LO-2	J
D-COE-K32004-AS:	AUNU'U BOAT HARBOR, AUNU'U ISLAND, AMERICAN SAMOA	LO-2	J
D-COE-K34001-CA:	PORT OF REDWOOD CITY LEVEE PROJECT, REGULATORY PERMIT, SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-COE-K38001-HI:	PERMIT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO ALA MOANA PARK DRAINAGE CANAL, HONOLULU, HAWAII	LO-2	J
D-COE-L36036-WA:	SWINOMISH CHANNEL MAINTENANCE DREDGING, SKAGIT COUNTY, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K
D-COE-L32001-WA:	WILLAPA RIVER AND HARBOR NAVIGATION PROJECT, WASHINGTON	ER-1	K
ENERGY RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION			
D-ERD-A00110-00:	EXPANSION OF US URANIUM ENRICHMENT CAPACITY	LO-2	A
FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION			
RD-FEA-A02076-00:	MANDATORY OIL IMPORT PROGRAM	ER-2	A
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION			
DS-FPC-C03001-NJ:	CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF A LNG IMPORT TERMINAL, RACOON ISLAND, GLOUCESTER COUNTY, NEW JERSEY	LO-1	C
D-FPC-E09002-00:	SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS COMPANY, DOCKET NO. RP74-6 ANALYSIS OF TWO CURTAILMENT PLANS	ER-2	E
D-FPC-G03001-LA:	CALCASIEU LNG PROJECT, CALCASIEU PARISH, LOUISIANA	LO-2	G
D-FPC-L05002-WA:	ROCK ISLAND RECREATION PLAN, EXHIBIT R FOR ROCK ISLAND, HYDROELECTRIC PROJECT, NO. 943, CHELAN COUNTY, WASHINGTON	LO-1	K
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
D-USA-G11001-NM:	WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE, WHITE SANDS NATIONAL MONUMENT, NEW MEXICO	LO-1	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION			
D-GSA-D81003-VA:	FEDERAL BUILDING AND PARKING FACILITY, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
D-HUD-D89014-MD:	INNER HARBOR WEST URBAN RENEWAL, BALTIMORE CITY, MARYLAND	LO-2	D
D-HUD-D89015-PA:	EASTWICK URBAN RENEWAL, PHILADELPHIA	ER-2	D
D-HUD-E28002-NC:	BRUNSWICK COUNTY WATER SYSTEM, PHASE I, BRUNSWICK COUNTY, NORTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-HUD-F85004-IL:	WESTBURY SUBDIVISION, HOFFMAN ESTATES, ILLINOIS	LO-2	F
D-HUD-G85004-AR:	WATER TREATMENT PLANT IMPROVEMENTS, OSCEOLA, ARKANSAS	3	G
D-HUD-G85006-TX:	PARK FOREST NORTH SUBDIVISION, COLLIN COUNTY, PLANO, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G85007-TX:	PARK CENTRAL DEVELOPMENT, PORT ARTHUR, JEFFERSON COUNTY, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-G85008-TX:	NORTHWEST TEXARKANA SEWER MAINS PROJECT, TEXAS	LO-2	G
D-HUD-K80002-CA:	LOS ANGELES HARBOR INDUSTRIAL CENTER REDEVELOPMENT CENTER, WILMINGTON, LOS ANGELES COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	3	J
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
D-BLM-A02080-AK:	OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE NO. 29, OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF, OCS NORTHERN GULF OF ALASKA	EU-2	A
D-BOR-J61006-MT:	MISSOURI WILD AND SCENIC RIVER STUDY, MONTANA	LO-1	I
D-BPA-L08017-00:	FISCAL YEAR 1977 PROPOSED PROGRAM	ER-2	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-IBM-A67012-00:	SURFACE SUBSIDENCE CONTROL IN MINING REGIONS	LO-2	A
D-IBR-G07003-NM:	FOUR CORNERS POWERPLANT AND NAVAJO MINE, NEW MEXICO	ER-2	G
D-IBR-K28002-CA:	SUGAR PINE DAM, RESERVOIR AND CONDUIT, CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT, PLACER COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	ER-2	J
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
D-NRC-H06000-KS:	WOLF CREEK GENERATING STATION, COFFEY COUNTY, KANSAS	ER-2	H
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
DS-DOT-A40018-MD:	PATUXENT FREEWAY, MD-108 AND MD-32, MARYLAND	ER-2	D
D-FHW-D40019-WV:	APPALACHIAN CORRIDOR H, LORENZ TO ELKINS, UPSHUR, BABOUR, AND RANDOLPH COUNTIES, WEST VIRGINIA	ER-2	D
D-FHW-D40022-WV:	I-64, BRAGG TO SAM BLACK CHURCH, RALEIGH, SUMMERS AND GREENBRIAR COUNTIES, WEST VIRGINIA	LO-2	D
D-FHW-E40048-AL:	WEST LEE STREET, I-65 INTERCHANGE, CHICKASAW, MOBILE COUNTY, ALABAMA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40049-TN:	TN-67, FROM APPALACHIAN CORRIDOR B TO TN-91, WASHINGTON AND CARTER COUNTIES, TENNESSEE	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40050-SC:	CONNECTOR, US 276 AND US 76, LAURENS, GREENVILLE AND ANDERSON COUNTIES, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-E40055-SC:	US 17 AND US 21, BEAUFORT COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA	LO-2	E
D-FHW-G40034-OK:	US 62 AND OK-82, TAHLEQUAH, CHEROKEE COUNTY, OKLAHOMA	LO-1	G

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
D-FHW-G40035-TX:	BELTWAY 8 FROM US 59 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY TO I-10, KATY FREEWAY, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	ER-2	G
D-FHW-G40036-TX:	BELTWAY 8, I-45 SOUTH GULF FREEWAY TO US 59 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY, HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS	EU-2	G
D-FHW-H40029-NB:	US 6, HOLDREGE, PHELPS COUNTY, NEBRASKA	ER-2	H
D-FHW-H40030-KS:	MCLEAN BOULEVARD, WICHITA, SEDGWICK COUNTY, KANSAS	LO-2	H
D-FHW-K40022-HI:	KAUAI BELT ROAD, US 58, HANALEI TO KALIHIWAI, KAUAI COUNTY, HAWAII	ER-2	J
D-FHW-K40023-AZ:	FOREST HIGHWAY 12, YOUNT TO AZ-260, GILA AND COCONINO COUNTIES, ARIZONA	LO-1	J
D-FHW-L40025-ID:	US 12, LEWISTON, NEZPERCE COUNTY, IDAHO	LO-1	K

* PERTAINS TO THE PROBABLE PARTICULATE AIR QUALITY VIOLATION WITH RESPECT TO THE CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF GRAIN ELEVATORS WHICH IS A PORTION OF THE WATERFRONT DEVELOPMENT DESCRIBED IN THE DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT.

APPENDIX II

DEFINITIONS OF CODES FOR THE GENERAL NATURE
OF EPA COMMENTS

ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE ACTION

LO--Lack of Objection

EPA has no objections to the proposed action as described in the draft impact statement; or suggests only minor changes in the proposed action.

ER--Environmental Reservations

EPA has reservations concerning the environmental effects of certain aspects of the proposed action. EPA believes that further study of suggested alternatives or modifications is required and has asked the originating Federal agency to reassess these impacts.

EU-Environmentally Unsatisfactory

EPA believes that the proposed action is unsatisfactory because of its potentially harmful effect on the environment. Furthermore, the Agency believes that the potential safeguards which might be utilized may not adequately protect the environment from hazards arising from this action. The Agency recommends that alternatives to the action be analyzed further (including the possibility of no action at all).

ADEQUACY OF THE IMPACT STATEMENT

Category 1--Adequate

The draft impact statement adequately sets forth the environmental impact of the proposed project or action as well as alternatives reasonably available to the project or action.

Category 2--Insufficient Information

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not contain sufficient information to assess fully the environmental impact of the proposed project or

action. However, from the information submitted, the Agency is able to make a preliminary determination of the impact on the environment. EPA has requested that the originator provide the information that was not included in the draft statement.

Category 3--Inadequate

EPA believes that the draft impact statement does not adequately assess the environmental impact of the proposed project or action, or that the statement inadequately analyzes reasonable available alternatives. The Agency has requested more information and analysis concerning the potential environmental hazards and has asked that substantial revision be made to the impact statement.

APPENDIX III

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR WHICH
COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN
SEPTEMBER 1, 1975 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE			
F-SCS-E64001-MN:	CHIPPEWA AND LONG PRAIRIE HEADWATERS, FISH AND WILDLIFE DEVELOPMENT, DOUGLAS, GRANT, OTTERTAIL, AND POPE COUNTIES, MINNESOTA	EPA HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	F
CORPS OF ENGINEERS			
F-COE-A32487-FL:	TAMPA HARBOR PROJECT, DREDGING AND SPOIL DISPOSAL, TAMPA HARBOR, FLORIDA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA INTENDS TO REVIEW FUTURE SUPPLEMENTS ON THE LONG-TERM EFFECTS ON WATER QUALITY AS THE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE.	E
F-COE-A34098-AL:	MILLERS FERRY LOCK AND DAM, ALABAMA RIVER, ALABAMA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED. HOWEVER EPA IS CONCERNED ABOUT THE USE OF WATER QUALITY DATA COLLECTED IN 1966 WHICH IS INAPPLICABLE REGARDING STREAM CHANNELIZATION. ALSO, PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH RELEASES FROM POWER GENERATORS ARE NOT ADEQUATELY DISCUSSED.	E
F-COE-A35134-FL:	JACKSONVILLE HARBOR, MAINTENANCE DREDGING, JACKSONVILLE, FLORIDA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA RESTATED A NEED FOR A LONG-RANGE PLAN FOR DISPOSAL OF SPOIL.	E
F-COE-A39110-MI:	SOUTH HAVEN HARBOR, MITIGATION OF SHORE DAMAGE, MICHIGAN	EP GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA HAS REQUESTED THE CORPS TO CONDUCT A CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF THE BORROW MATERIAL TO DETERMINE ITS ACCEPTABILITY FOR USE AS BEACH NOURISHMENT.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-C36007-NY:	FLOOD CONTROL SCAJAQUEDA CREEK AND TRIBUTARIES, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	C
F-COE-E36004-TN:	WEST TENNESSEE TRIBUTARIES PROJECT, FLOOD CONTROL, TENNESSEE	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA SUGGESTED THAT A MORE THOROUGH EVALUATION BE CONDUCTED ON THE POSSIBLE EFFECTS OF THE CHANNELIZATION ON LONG-TERM EFFECT ON WATER QUALITY.	E
F-COE-F30001-MI:	ST. JOSEPH HARBOR, MITIGATION OF SHORE DAMAGE, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA BELIEVED THAT SEVERAL POINTS IN THE STATEMENT NEEDED FURTHER CLARIFICATION.	F
F-COE-F30002-OH:	COOPERATIVE BEACH EROSION CONTROL PROJECT, LAKEVIEW PARK, LORAIN, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	F
F-COE-F30003-IL:	BEACH EROSION CONTROL, SOUTH BOULEVARD BEACH, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA REQUESTED SPECIAL CONSIDERATION BE GIVEN TO FILL MATERIAL, SECONDARY EFFECTS ON LOCAL RESIDENTS, AND THAT SUITABLE ASSURANCES BE MADE TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT A LITTORAL DRIFT STUDY.	F
F-COE-F32006-MN:	TWO HARBORS, HARBOR LAKE COUNTY, MINNESOTA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THEPROPOSED PROJECT.	F
F-COE-F35005-OH:	LORAIN HARBOR, DIKED DISPOSAL FACILITY, SITE NO. 7, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA FEELS THE COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT STATEMENT WERE NOT GIVEN ADEQUATE CONSIDERATION IN RESPECT TO THE WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROGRAM, IMPLEMENTATION OF A MITIGATION AND COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE IN THE EVENT THAT WATER QUALITY STANDARDS ARE VIOLATED, NATURE OF THE PROPOSED FILTER CORE, BOTULISM CONTROL STRATAGIES, DEBRIS TRAPPING AND SHORE EROSION.	F
F-COE-F36001-IL:	FULTON LOCAL FLOOD PROTECTION PROJECT, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT WHICH WILL PROVIDE FLOOD PROTECTION. HOWEVER, EPA QUESTIONS WHY THIS PLAN WAS SELECTED SINCE IT CONFLICTS WITH THE GOAL TO LIMIT OR PREVENT THE CONSTRUCTION OF MAN-MADE DEVELOPMENTS ON THE FLOOD PLAIN.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-COE-F36004-IL:	KENT CREEK, LOCAL PROTECTION PROJECT, ROCKFORD, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	F
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE			
F-USN-D81002-VA:	FORT STORY MILITARY HOUSING, VIRGINIA BEACH, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	D
FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION			
FS-FPC-A03045-NY:	CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION OF AN LNG IMPORT TERMINAL AT STATEN ISLAND, NEW YORK	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	C
F-FPC-K05001-CA:	ESCONDIDO PROJECT NO. 176, ESCONDIDO, SAN DIEGO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THE STATEMENT TO BE UNRESPONSIVE TO EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS. EPA IS UNABLE TO ASSESS THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AS THE RESULT OF THE INADEQUATELY PREPARED FINAL EIS.	J
DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT			
F-HUD-E85007-FL:	STERLING PARK, TITLE X, SEMINOLE COUNTY, FLORIDA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROJECT AS PROPOSED.	E
F-HUD-F85002-IL:	WILDWOOD ADDITION, DECATUR, MACON COUNTY, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	F
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR			
F-BLM-A02071-CA:	PROPOSED 1975 OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF OCS OIL AND GAS LEASE SALE NO. 35, OFFSHORE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	EPA HAD ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS CONCERNING THE PROPOSED OCS SALE. EPA CALLED FOR A DEFERRAL IN THE OFFERING OF DEEPWATER OR HIGHER RISK TRACTS AND A GRADUAL PROGRESSION INTO HIGHER RISK AREAS AS EXPERIENCE WITH THE REQUISITE TECHNOLOGY IS ASSIMILATED. EPA FURTHER URGED THE DEVELOPMENT OF DEEPWATER OPERATING ORDERS AND RECOMMENDED THAT DEVELOPMENT PLANS SHOULD NOT BE APPROVED UNTIL THE CALIFORNIA COASTAL ZONE MANAGEMENT PROGRAM HAS RECEIVED FINAL APPROVAL BY THE SECRETARY OF COMMERCE.	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-NPS-D61001-DC:	PROPOSED REHABILITATION OF THE NATIONAL MALL, WASHINGTON DC	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA ALSO NOTED CONTINUING INTEREST AS FAR AS ITS POTENTIAL FOR INCREASING MASS TRANSPORTATION USE AFFECTS EPA'S PROGRAMS TO ATTAIN AND MAINTAIN AIR QUALITY IN THE WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA.	D
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION			
F-FHW-A41699-VA:	I-664, HAMPTON ROADS, VIRGINIA	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	D
F-FHW-A41986-CO:	US 40, STEAMBOAT SPRINGS, ROUTT COUNTY, COLORADO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	I
F-FHW-A42039-NB:	US 30, COLUMBUS, PLATTE COUNTY, NEBRASKA	EPA EXPRESSED ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS WITH THE PROPOSED PROJECT DUE TO PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS WHICH EXCEED THE RECOMMENDED LEVELS SET BY FHWA'S POLICY AND PROCEDURES MEMORANDUM 90-2.	H
F-FHW-A42065-CA:	CA-87, GUADALUPE FREEWAY, SANTA CLARA COUNTY, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THE STATEMENT TO BE UNRESPONSIVE TO COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS WHICH EXPRESSED MAJOR CONCERNS WITH THE PROJECT SCOPE AND THE AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS. THEREFORE, EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	J
F-FHW-A42223-IL: F-FHW-A42297-IL:	IL-412 SUPPLEMENTAL FREEWAY, LA SALLE, LEE, OGLE AND WINNEBAGO COUNTIES, ILLINOIS	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT. HOWEVER, EPA RECOMMENDED THAT RESIDENCES BE PROTECTED FROM EXCESSIVE NOISE LEVELS AS REQUIRED BY THE FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION'S GUIDELINES.	F
F-FHW-A42401-CA:	FREEWAY DEVELOPMENT, CA-99, I-5 TO CA-70, SACRAMENTO AND SUTTER COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA	EPA'S REVIEW OF THE FINAL EIS INDICATED THE STATEMENT TO BE UNRESPONSIVE TO EPA'S COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT EIS WITH RESPECT TO THE LACK OF AIR QUALITY ANALYSIS AND DETRIMENTAL SECONDARY EFFECTS RESULTING FROM THE PROJECT. THEREFORE, EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS ON THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	J
NF-FHW-F40007-WI:	US 10 AND WI-114, WINNEBAGO, CALUMET, AND OUTAGAMIE COUNTIES, WISCONSIN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	F

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
F-FHW-F40010-MI:	ROUGE RIVER BRIDGE, TEN MILE ROAD, ROCKFORD, KENT COUNTY, MICHIGAN	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	F
F-FHW-F40011-OH:	I-275, OHIO RIVER 4 MILE ROAD, CINCINNATI, HAMILTON COUNTY, OHIO	EPA GENERALLY HAD NO OBJECTIONS TO THE PROPOSED PROJECT.	F
F-FHW-J40004-CO:	PROJECT F-019-2(14), DURANGO SOUTH, LAPLATA COUNTY, COLORADO	EPA CONTINUES TO HAVE ENVIRONMENTAL RESERVATIONS OVER THE PROPOSED PROJECT. EPA FEELS THAT THERE ARE SERIOUS DISADVANTAGES TO THE PROPOSED ALTERNATIVE AS IT WILL REQUIRE CHANNELIZATION OF THE ANIMAS RIVER THEREBY ENCROACHING UPON THE SITE OF TWO RADIOACTIVE TAILINGS PILES. THIS CHANNELIZATION WILL REDUCE THE LAND AREA OF THE SMELTER BENCH AND MAY HAVE THE EFFECT OF FURTHER LIMITING OR COMPLICATING THE OPTIONS FOR REMEDYING THE EXISTING TAILINGS PROBLEM. IN ADDITION, THIS PROJECT WILL CONVERT LAND USE ADJACENT TO THE TAILINGS TO A HIGH USE TRANSPORTATION CORRIDOR WHICH WILL BRING THE GENERAL PUBLIC IN MUCH CLOSER PROXIMITY TO A SOURCE OF RADIOACTIVITY THAN THEY ARE CURRENTLY EXPOSED TO. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE HIGHWAY EITHER BE LOCATED IN A MANNER AS TO NOT DISTURB THE SMELTER BENCH OR BE BUILT AFTER THE ENGINEERING FEASIBILITY EVALUATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS ARE COMPLETED BY ERDA FOR THESE TAILINGS PILES.	I

APPENDIX IV

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS WHICH
WERE REVIEWED AND NOT COMMENTED ON BETWEEN

SEPTEMBER 1, 1975 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE		
F-AFS-A65050-MT:	Camp-Tolan Planning Unit, Bitterroot National Forest, Montana	I
F-AFS-J65010-CO:	Timber Management Plan, Gunnison National Forest, Colorado	I
F-AFS-L61014-ID:	Land Use Plan, Bear Valley Planning Unit, Boise and Challis National Forests, Idaho	K
F-AFS-L61023-ID:	Land Use Plan, Idaho City Planning Unit, Boise National Forest, Idaho	K
F-SCS-G35015-LA:	Duralde Des Cannes Watershed, Evangeline and Acadia Parishes, Louisiana	G
F-SCS-J36003-MT:	City of Browning Watershed, Glacier County, Montana	I
F-SCS-J38000-SD:	Mission Hill Watershed, Yankton County, South Dakota	I
CORPS OF ENGINEERS		
F-COE-A32331-00:	Harris Fork Creek, Obion and Forked Deer Rivers and Tributaries, Tennessee and Kentucky	E
F-COE-A34093-KY:	Cave Run Lake Project, Licking River Basin, Kentucky	E
F-COE-A34116-OK:	Operation and Maintenance Program, Eufaula Lake, Canadian River, Oklahoma	G
F-COE-A34119-KY:	Laurel River Lake, Cumberland River Basin, Laurel River, Kentucky	E
FS-COE-L36003-WA:	Chief Joseph Dam, Additional Units, Operation and Maintenance, Columbia River, Washington	K

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-COE-A36140-PA:	Tamaqua Local Flood Protection Project, Schuylkill County, Pennsylvania	D
F-COE-A36350-MO:	Stockton Lake, Operation and Maintenance Program, Sac River, Missouri	H
F-COE-A36365-HI:	Kandera-Kailua Area Flood Control and Allied Purposes Project, Oahu Honolulu County, Hawaii	J
F-COE-A38006-TX:	Taylors Bayou, Drainage and Flood Control Project, Jefferson County, Texas	G
F-COE-A39105-OK:	Operation and Maintenance Program, Fort Gibson Lake, Grand Neosho River, Tenkiller Ferry Lake, Illinois River, Oklahoma	G
F-COE-L36015-00	Lower Granit Project, Snake River, Washington and Idaho	K
ENERGY RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION		
F-ERD-A00109-NJ:	Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor Facilities, Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Middlesex County, Princeton, New Jersey	A
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION		
F-GSA-E81003-MS:	Federal Building, Jackson, Mississippi	E
F-GSA-J81002-SD:	Federal Office Building, Huron, Beadle County, South Dakota	I
INTERNATIONAL BOUNDARY AND WATER COMMISSION		
F-IBW-G36019-00:	Rio Grande Canalization Project, New Mexico and Texas	G
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR		
F-BLM-A02064-00:	Proposed Increase in Oil and Gas Leasing on the Outer Continental Shelf, 10 Million Acres	A

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	SOURCE OF REVIEW
F-SFW-A61257-DE:	Proposed Bombay Hook Wilderness Area, Delaware	D
NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION		
F-NAS-A12029-00:	Mariner Jupiter/Saturn 1977 Program	A
F-NAS-A12030-00:	Viking 1975 Program	A
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION		
F-CGD-A52073-00:	Regulations for Tank Vessels Engaged in Carriage Of Oil in Domestic Trade	A
F-DOT-A51211-OK:	Sequoyah Park Airport, Wagoner, Oklahoma	G
F-FAA-A51316-LA:	New Orleans Lakefront Airport, Orleans Parish, Louisiana	G
F-FHW-A41551-GA:	GA-365, Hall and Habersham Counties, Georgia	E
F-FHW-A41922-ND:	Improvement of ND-37, Garrison East, McLean County, North Dakota	I
F-FHW-A42257-AL:	AL-59, Foley to Loxley, Baldwin County, Alabama	E
F-FHW-E40008-FL:	FL-60, Indian River County, Vero Beach, Florida	E
F-FHW-E40027-GA:	Marion Road, Fulton and Dekalb Counties, Georgia	E
F-FHW-E40053-TN:	TN-32 Relocation, Appalachian Corridor S, Grainger County, Tennessee	E
F-FHW-G40012-NM:	Espanola Bridge, Espanola, Rio Arriba County, New Mexico	G
F-FHW-H40017-KS:	Improvement of 57th Street from KS-32 to Parallel Avenue, Kansas City Kansas	H
F-FHW-L40009-OR:	Oregon Forest Highway Route 46, OR-46, Cascade Lakes Highway, Deschutes County, Oregon	K
F-FHW-A42200-KS:	US 69, Bourbon County, Kansas	H

APPENDIX V

REGULATIONS, LEGISLATION AND OTHER FEDERAL AGENCY ACTIONS FOR WHICH COMMENTS WERE ISSUED BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 1, 1975 AND SEPTEMBER 30, 1975

IDENTIFYING NUMBER	TITLE	GENERAL NATURE OF COMMENTS	SOURCE FOR COPIES OF COMMENTS
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE			
R-DOC-A87001-00:	15 CFR PART 7, NATIONAL VOLUNTARY LABORATORY, ACCREDITATION PROGRAM	EPA BELIEVES THAT THE DEFINITIONS OF THE WORDS "PRODUCT" AND "CLASS OF TECHNOLOGY" HAVE CREATED SOME CONFUSION IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR OVER WHICH FEDERAL AGENCY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CERTIFICATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL MEASUREMENT LABORATORIES. EPA SUGGESTED MODIFICATIONS WHICH WOULD HELP CLARIFY THE POSSIBLE CONFUSION.	A
DEPARTMENT OF LABOR			
R-OSH-A27003-00:	29 CFR PART 1910, EXPOSURE TO COKE OVEN EMISSIONS, PROPOSED STANDARD	EPA HAD ONLY MINOR COMMENTS ON THE PROPOSED STANDARD AND WILL REVIEW THE EIS CURRENTLY BEING PREPARED ON THE STANDARD WHEN IT IS AVAILABLE. EPA IS CONCERNED THAT THE ATTAINMENT OF OSHA'S STANDARDS FOR OCCUPATIONAL EXPOSURE TO COKE OVEN EMISSIONS BE COMPATIBLE WITH EPA'S EFFORTS TO REGULATE ATMOSPHERIC EMISSIONS OF POLLUTANTS FROM COKE OVEN OPERATIONS.	A

APPENDIX VI

SOURCE FOR COPIES OF EPA COMMENTS

A. Public Information Reference Unit
Environmental Protection Agency
Room 2922, Waterside Mall, SW
Washington, D.C. 20460

B. Director of Public Affairs, Region I
Environmental Protection Agency
John F. Kennedy Federal Building
Boston, Massachusetts 02203

C. Director of Public Affairs, Region II
Environmental Protection Agency
26 Federal Plaza
New York, New York 10007

D. Director of Public Affairs, Region III
Environmental Protection Agency
Curtis Building, 6th and Walnut Streets
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106

E. Director of Public Affairs, Region IV
Environmental Protection Agency
1421 Peachtree Street, NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

F. Director of Public Affairs, Region V
Environmental Protection Agency
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

G. Director of Public Affairs, Region VI
Environmental Protection Agency
1600 Patterson Street
Dallas, Texas 75201

H. Director of Public Affairs, Region VII
Environmental Protection Agency
1735 Baltimore Street
Kansas City, Missouri 64108

I. Director of Public Affairs, Region VIII
Environmental Protection Agency
1860 Lincoln Street
Denver, Colorado 80203

J. Director of Public Affairs, Region IX
Environmental Protection Agency
100 California Street
San Francisco, California 94111

K. Director of Public Affairs, Region X
Environmental Protection Agency
1200 Sixth Avenue
Seattle, Washington, 98101

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
722 JACKSON PLACE, N. W.
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006

102 Monitor

The 102 Monitor is the monthly publication of the Council on Environmental Quality. In addition to its listing of environmental impact statements which have been filed with the Council under the provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the Monitor contains a list of EPA's comments, filed under the provisions of section 309 of the Clean Air Act, as amended; items of current concern in NEPA case law; and information on the environmental studies of the Council.

The 102 Monitor is printed and distributed by the Government Printing Office. The subscription rate for the Monitor is $21.50 annually, with an additional $5.40 charge for foreign mailing; individual copies of the Monitor are $1.80 from GPO.

Superintendent of Documents
Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

(Difficulties in obtaining copies of the 102 Monitor should be referred to GPO's Service Section, P.O. Box 1533, Washington, D.C. 20013)

*U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE:1975 211-480/4 1-3

Order Blank

To Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Date ....., 19.....

Name .....

Street address .....

City .....

State ..... Zip Code .....

FOR USE OF SUPT. OF DOCS.	
.....	Enclosed .....
.....	To be mailed later .....
.....	Subscription .....
	Refund .....
	Coupon Refund .....
	Postage .....

CATALOG NO.	QUANTITY DESIRED	TITLE OF PUBLICATION	PRICE PER COPY	TOTAL
				$.....

FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE ATTACH ANOTHER SHEET. TOTAL AMOUNT ENCLOSED.. $.....

IMPORTANT

Please include your ZIP CODE when filling out the mailing label below.

.....

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
PUBLIC DOCUMENTS DEPARTMENT
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

RETURN AFTER 5 DAYS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... ZIP Code .....